

Controllability of Linear Difference Equation in Hilbert Spaces and Applications.

Hugo Leiva and Jahnett Uzcátegui

Abstract

In this paper we present a necessary and sufficient conditions for the exact and approximate controllability of the following linear difference equation

$$z(n+1) = A(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad z(n) \in Z, \quad u(n) \in U,$$

where Z, U are Hilbert spaces, $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $A \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(Z))$, $B \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(U, Z))$, $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$. As a particular case we consider the discretization on flow of the following controlled evolution equation

$$z' = Az + Bu, \quad z \in Z, \quad u \in U, \quad t > 0,$$

where Z, U are Hilbert spaces, $B \in L(U, Z)$, $u \in L^2(0, \tau; U)$ and A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in Z , given by:

$$T(t)z = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{A_j t} P_j z, \quad z \in Z, \quad t \geq 0,$$

according to lemma 1.1. We apply these results to a flow-discretization of the heat equation and the wave equation.

Resumen

En este artículo presentamos condiciones necesarias y suficientes para la controlabilidad exacta y aproximada de la siguiente ecuación en diferencias lineal

$$z(n+1) = A(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad z(n) \in Z, \quad u(n) \in U,$$

donde Z, U son espacios de Hilbert, $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $A \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(Z))$, $B \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(U, Z))$, $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$. Como un caso particular consideramos la discretización en el flujo de la siguiente ecuación de evolución controlada

$$z' = Az + Bu, \quad z \in Z, \quad u \in U, \quad t > 0,$$

donde Z, U son espacios de Hilbert, $B \in L(U, Z)$, $u \in L^2(0, \tau; U)$ y A es el generador infinitesimal de un semigrupo fuertemente continuo $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in Z , dado por:

$$T(t)z = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{A_j t} P_j z, \quad z \in Z, \quad t \geq 0$$

de acuerdo con el lema 1.1. Aplicamos esos resultados a una discretización en el flujo de la ecuación del calor y la ecuación de onda.

key words. difference equations, exact controllability, approximate controllability, heat and wave equation.

AMS(MOS) subject classifications. primary: 93C55; secondary: 93B05.

1 Introduction.

One way to obtain Difference Equation in Banach Spaces is making discretization on flow of the evolution equation, this method was used in [3], [5] and [9] to characterize exponential dichotomy of evolution operators and skew product semiflows respectively.

In general, for a controlled evolution equation of the form

$$z' = Az + Bu, \quad z \in Z, \quad u \in U, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where Z, U are Banach spaces, $B \in L(U, Z)$, $u \in L^2(0, \tau; U)$ and A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in Z , we consider the following discretization on flow:

$$z(n+1) = T(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (1.2)$$

where the control $u = \{u(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ belong to $l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$.

In particular, we shall work here with those infinitesimal generator A given by the following Lemma from [6].

Lemma 1.1 *Let Z be a Hilbert separable space and $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ two families of bounded linear operator in Z , with $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ a family of complete orthogonal projection such that:*

$$A_n P_n = P_n A_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Define the following family of linear operators

$$T(t)z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{A_n t} P_n z, \quad z \in Z, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then:

- (a) $T(t)$ is a linear and bounded operator if $\|e^{A_n t}\| \leq g(t)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with $g(t) \geq 0$, continuous for $t \geq 0$.

(b) Under the same condition the above, $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup in the Hilbert space Z , whose infinitesimal generator A is given by

$$Az = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n P_n z, \quad z \in D(A)$$

with

$$D(A) = \left\{ z \in Z : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|A_n P_n z\|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

(c) The spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of A is given by

$$\sigma(A) = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(\overline{A_n})},$$

where $\overline{A_n} = A_n P_n$.

We shall assume through this paper the following hypothesis:

$$P_j B B^* = B B^* P_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.3)$$

Under this condition, in lemma 3.1, we characterize the exact and approximate controllability of the general system (1.2) in terms of the following family of control systems

$$z(n+1) = e^{A_j n} z(n) + B_j u(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $B_j = P_j B$ and $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$.

Finally, we apply these results to a discrete version of the heat and wave equation.

2 Preliminaries Results.

In this section we shall present a discrete version of theorem 4.1.7 from [2] for the following general controlled difference equation in Hilbert spaces

$$z(n+1) = A(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad z(0) = z_0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $z(n) \in Z$, $u(n) \in U$, where Z, U are Hilbert spaces, $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $A \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(Z))$, $B \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(U, Z))$, $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$.

To this end, we shall give the definition of exact and approximate controllability for the system (2.4).

Consider the set $\Delta = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : m \geq n\}$ and let $\Phi = \{\Phi(m, n)\}_{(m, n) \in \Delta}$ be the evolution operator associated to A , i.e., $\Phi(m, n) = A(m-1) \cdots A(n)$ and $\Phi(m, n) = I$, for $m = n$.

Then, the solution of (2.4) is given by the discrete variation constant formula:

$$z(n) = \Phi(n, 0)z(0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(n, k)B(k-1)u(k-1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.5)$$

Definition 2.1 (Exact Controllability) *The system (2.4) is said to be exactly controllable if there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $z_0, z_1 \in Z$ there exists $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$ such that $z(0) = z_0$ and $z(n_0) = z_1$.*

Definition 2.2 (Approximate Controllability) *The system (2.4) is said to be approximately controllable if there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $z_0, z_1 \in Z$, $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$ such that $z(0) = z_0$ and $\|z(n_0) - z_1\| < \varepsilon$.*

Definition 2.3 *For the system (2.4) we define the following concepts:*

a) *The controllability map (for $n \in \mathbb{N}$) is define as follows $B^n : l^2(\mathbb{N}, U) \longrightarrow Z$ by*

$$B^n u = \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(n, k)B(k-1)u(k-1) \quad (2.6)$$

b) *The grammian map (for $n \in \mathbb{N}$) is define by $L_{B^n} = B^n B^{n*}$*

Proposition 2.1 *The adjoint B^{n_0*} of the operator B^{n_0} is given by $B^{n_0*} : Z \longrightarrow l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$*

$$(B^{n_0*}z)(k-1) = \begin{cases} B^*(k-1)\Phi^*(n_0, k)z, & k \leq n_0 \\ 0, & k > n_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$L_{B^{n_0}}z = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)B(k-1)B^*(k-1)\Phi^*(n_0, k)z, \quad z \in Z. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle B^{n_0} z, z \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k) B(k-1) u(k-1), z \right\rangle_{Z,Z} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \langle \Phi(n_0, k) B(k-1) u(k-1), z \rangle_{Z,Z} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \langle u(k-1), B^*(k-1) \Phi^*(n_0, k) z \rangle_{U,U} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \langle u(k-1), B^*(k-1) \Phi^*(n_0, k) z \rangle_{U,U} + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \langle u(k-1), 0 \rangle_{U,U} \\
&= \langle u, B^{n_0*} z \rangle_{l^2(\mathbb{N}, U), l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)},
\end{aligned}$$

which prove (2.1). Clearly, (2.8) follows immediately from definition 2.2 and (2.1). \square

The following theorem is a discrete version of theorem 4.1.7 from [2].

Theorem 2.1 (a) *The equation (2.4) is exactly controllable for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ if, and only if, one of the following statements holds:*

- (i) $\text{Rang}(B^{n_0}) = Z$
- (ii) *There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle \geq \gamma \|z\|_Z^2, \quad \forall z \in Z,$$

- (iii) *There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\|B^{n_0*} z\|_{l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)} \geq \gamma \|z\|_Z, \quad \forall z \in Z,$$

(b) *The equation (2.4) is approximately controllable for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ if, and only if, one of the following statements holds:*

- (i) $\text{Ker}(B^{n_0*}) = \{0\}$.
- (ii) $\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle > 0, z \neq 0$ in Z .
- (iii) $B^*(k-1) \Phi^*(n_0, k) z = 0, k \leq n_0, \Rightarrow z = 0$.
- (iv) $\overline{\text{Rang}(B^{n_0})} = Z$.

Proof

(a) Since $L_{B^{n_0}} = B^{n_0} B^{n_0*}$, we have that

$$\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle = \langle B^{n_0} B^{n_0*} z, z \rangle = \langle B^{n_0*} z, B^{n_0*} z \rangle = \|B^{n_0*} z\|^2, \quad \forall z \in Z, \quad (2.9)$$

which shows the equivalence between (ii) and (iii).

If (ii) holds, then $L_{B^{n_0}}$ is boundedly invertible. Hence,

$\text{Rang}(L_{B^{n_0}}) = D((L_{B^{n_0}})^{-1}) = Z$. The fact that $L_{B^{n_0}} = B^{n_0}B^{n_0*}$ shows that $\text{Rang}(L_{B^{n_0}}) \subset \text{Rang}(B^{n_0})$. Thus $\text{Rang}(B^{n_0}) = Z$, which shows (i).

Let us suppose that $\text{Rang}(B^{n_0}) = Z$. Then, we shall prove that (iii) holds. First, we assume that B^{n_0} is injective; then $(B^{n_0})^{-1} \in L(Z, l^2(\mathbb{N}, U))$ and $(B^{n_0*})^{-1} \in L(l^2(\mathbb{N}, U), Z)$. Thus, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\|(B^{n_0*})^{-1}u\|_Z \leq \beta\|u\|_Z, \quad \forall u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U),$$

and with $z = (B^{n_0*})^{-1}u$ we obtain

$$\|z\|_Z \leq \beta\|B^{n_0*}z\|_Z,$$

which is equivalent to (iii) considering $\gamma = 1/\beta$.

For the general case, we define the Hilbert space $X = [\text{Ker}B^{n_0}]^\perp$ endowed with the norm defined by $\|u\|_X = \|u\|_{l^2}$.

Then, we define $\widehat{B}^{n_0}u = B^{n_0}u$, $u \in X$, which makes \widehat{B}^{n_0} a bijective map on X , and our above argument applied to \widehat{B}^{n_0} shows that there exist $\beta > 0$ such that for all $z \in Z$

$$\beta\|\widehat{B}^{n_0*}z\|_X \geq \|z\|_Z.$$

From Lemma A.3.30 [2], the Riesz Representation Theorem and Hahn Banach's Theorem we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{B}^{n_0*}z\| &= \sup_{\{u \in X: \|u\| \leq 1\}} \langle u, \widehat{B}^{n_0*}z \rangle = \sup_{\{u \in X: \|u\| \leq 1\}} \langle \widehat{B}^{n_0}u, z \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\{u \in X: \|u\| \leq 1\}} \langle B^{n_0}u, z \rangle = \sup_{\{u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U): \|u\| \leq 1\}} \langle B^{n_0}u, z \rangle = \|B^{n_0*}z\|_{l^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have that

$$\|B^{n_0*}z\|_{l^2} = \|\widehat{B}^{n_0*}z\|_X \geq \frac{1}{\beta}\|z\|_Z.$$

Once more, with $\gamma = 1/\beta$, we have (iii).

Now, we shall prove that the exact controllability of (2.4) implies (i). Suppose that (2.4) is exactly controllable for some n_0 . Given $z \in Z$ we can find z_0 and z_1 in Z such that

$$z_1 = \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0 + z. \quad (2.10)$$

Then there exist $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$ such that $z_0(0) = z_0$ and $z_u(n_0) = z_1$. Thus,

$$z_1 = z_u(n_0) = \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)B(k-1)u(k-1) \quad (2.11)$$

Substituting (2.10) in (2.11), we obtain

$$\Phi(n_0, 0)z_0 + z = \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)B(k-1)u(k-1).$$

Then,

$$z = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)B(k-1)u(k-1) = B^{n_0}u.$$

So, $\text{Rang}(B^{n_0}) = Z$.

Next, we shall show that (i) implies exact controllability of (2.4). Assume that $\text{Rang}(B^{n_0}) = Z$. Consider z in Z such that

$$z = z_1 - \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0, \quad (2.12)$$

with z_0, z_1 in Z . Then there exist a control u such that

$$B^{n_0}u = z. \quad (2.13)$$

Then, substituting (2.12) in (2.13), we obtain

$$z = z_1 - \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)B(k-1)u(k-1).$$

Hence

$$z_u(n_0) = \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)B(k-1)u(k-1).$$

So, we have obtained a solution $z_u(\cdot)$ of (2.4) such that $z_u(n_0) = z_1$ and $z_u(0) = z_0$, i.e., (2.4) is exactly controllable. This concludes the proof of part (a).

- (b) From proposition 2.1 follows that (i) and (iii) are equivalent, and (2.9) shows that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. We know that $(\text{Ker}(B^{n_0*}))^\perp = \overline{\text{Rang}(B^{n_0})}$. From this it follows that: $\overline{\text{Rang}(B^{n_0})} = Z$ iff $(\text{Ker}(B^{n_0*}))^\perp = Z$ iff $\text{Ker}(B^{n_0*}) = \{0\}$, which shows that (i) and (iv) are equivalent.

Now, suppose that (2.4) is approximately controllable; then for $\varepsilon > 0$, z, z_0, z_1 in Z , such that $z_1 = \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0 + z$, there exist $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$ with $z_u(0) = z_0$ and $\|z_u(n_0) - z_1\| < \varepsilon$.

Thus,

$$z_u(n_0) = \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)B(k-1)u(k-1).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B^{n_0}u - z\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)B(k-1)u(k-1) - z \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)B(k-1)u(k-1) + \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0 - z_1 \right\| \\
&= \|z_u(n_0) - z_1\| < \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (iv).

Assume that $\overline{\text{Rang}(B^{n_0})} = Z$. Let $z \in Z$ such that $z = z_1 - \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0$ with z_0, z_1 in Z . Then, there exist a control u such that $\|B^{n_0}u - z\| < \varepsilon$. Thus,

$$\|B^{n_0}u + \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0 - z_1\| = \|z_u(n_0) - z_1\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, we have obtained a solution $z_u(\cdot)$ of (2.4) such that $z_u(0) = z_0$ and $\|z_u(n_0) - z_1\| < \varepsilon$; this let us conclude that (2.4) is approximately controllable and finish the prove of part (b).

□

Lemma 2.1 *The equation (2.4) is exactly controllable for $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ if, and only if, $L_{B^{n_0}}$ is invertible. Moreover, in this case $S = B^{n_0*}L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1}$ is a right inverse of B^{n_0} and the control $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$ steering an initial state z_0 to a final state z_1 is given by:*

$$u = B^{n_0*}L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1}(z_1 - \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0). \quad (2.14)$$

Proof Suppose the system (2.4) is exactly controllable. Then, from theorem 2.1 part (a) – (iii), there is $\gamma > 0$ such that $\|B^{n_0*}z\| \geq \gamma\|z\|$, for all $z \in Z$, i.e.,

$$\|B^{n_0*}z\|^2 \geq \gamma^2\|z\|^2, \quad z \in Z.$$

i.e.,

$$\langle B^{n_0}B^{n_0*}z, z \rangle \geq \gamma^2\|z\|^2, \quad z \in Z.$$

i.e.,

$$\langle L_{B^{n_0}}z, z \rangle \geq \gamma^2\|z\|^2, \quad z \in Z \quad (2.15)$$

This implies that $L_{B^{n_0}}$ is one to one. Now, we shall prove that $L_{B^{n_0}}$ is surjective. That is to say

$$\mathcal{R}(L_{B^{n_0}}) = \text{Rang}(L_{B^{n_0}}) = Z.$$

For the purpose of contradiction, let us assume that $\mathcal{R}(L_{B^{n_0}})$ is strictly contained in Z . On the other hand, using Cauchy Schwarz's inequality and (2.15) we get

$$\|L_{B^{n_0}} z\|_{l^2} \geq \gamma^2 \|z\|^2, z \in Z,$$

which implies that $\mathcal{R}(L_{B^{n_0}})$ is closed. Then, from Hahn Banach's Theorem there exist $z_0 \neq 0$ such that

$$\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z_0 \rangle = 0, \forall z \in Z.$$

In particular, putting $z = z_0$ we get from (2.15) that

$$0 = \langle L_{B^{n_0}} z_0, z_0 \rangle \geq \gamma^2 \|z_0\|^2.$$

Then $z_0 = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $L_{B^{n_0}}$ is a bijection and from the Open Mapping Theorem, $L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1}$ is a bounded linear operator.

Now suppose $L_{B^{n_0}}$ is invertible. Then, from Theorem (2.1) it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{R}(B^{n_0}) = Z$. For $z \in Z$ we define the control $u_z \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$ as follows

$$u_z = Sz = B^{n_0*} L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1} z.$$

Then $B^{n_0} u_z = z$. The rest of the proof follows from here. \square

Lemma 2.2 *The equation (2.4) is approximately controllable for $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ if, and only if, $\overline{\text{Rang}(L_{B^{n_0}})} = Z$.*

Proof Suppose the system (2.4) is approximately controllable for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then, from Theorem 2.1 part (b) – (ii) we have that

$$\langle L_{B_0^n} z, z \rangle > 0, \forall z \in Z, z \neq 0. \quad (2.16)$$

For the purpose of contradiction, let us assume that

$$\overline{\text{Rang}(L_{B_0^n})} \subset Z.$$

Then, from Hahn Banach's Theorem there exists $z_0 \neq 0$ such that

$$\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z_0 \rangle = 0, \forall z \in Z.$$

In particular, if we put $z = z_0$, then $\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z_0, z_0 \rangle = 0$, which contradicts (2.16).

Now, suppose that $\overline{\text{Rang}(L_{B^{n_0}})} = Z$, i.e., $\overline{\text{Rang}(B^{n_0} B^{n_0*})} = Z$, so $\overline{\text{Rang}(B^{n_0})} = Z$. Then, from Theorem 2.1 we have that (2.4) is approximately controllable. \square

3 Main Results.

Now, we study the controllability of the system

$$z(n+1) = T(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad z(n) \in Z, \quad u(n) \in U, \quad (3.17)$$

where Z, U are Hilbert spaces, $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $B \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(U, Z))$, $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$ and $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup given by:

$$T(t)z = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{A_j t} P_j z, \quad z \in Z, \quad t \geq 0$$

according to lemma 1.1.

Proposition 3.1 *The evolution operator $\Phi = \{\Phi(m, n)\}_{(m, n) \in \Lambda}$ associated to the equation (3.17), is given by the formula $\Phi(m, n) = T(\Theta(m, n))$, where*

$$\Theta(m, n) = \frac{m^2 - n^2 - m + n}{2} \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \geq n.$$

Proof We know that

$$\Phi(m, n) = T(m-1)T(m-2) \cdots T(n) = T(m-1)T(m-2) \cdots T(m-k),$$

where $m = n + k$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(m, n) &= T(m-1 + m-2 + \cdots + m-k) = T\left(km - \sum_{i=1}^k i\right) \\ &= T\left(km - \frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right) = T\left(\frac{2km - k^2 - k}{2}\right) \\ &= T\left(\frac{k(2m-k) - k}{2}\right) = T\left(\frac{k(m+n) - k}{2}\right) \\ &= T\left(\frac{k(m+n-1)}{2}\right) = T\left(\frac{(m-n)(m+n-1)}{2}\right) \\ &= T\left(\frac{m^2 - n^2 - m + n}{2}\right) = T(\Theta(m, n)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.2 *Under the hypothesis (1.3) the operator*

$$L_{B^{n_0}} z = B^{n_0} B^{n_0^*} z = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k) B(k-1) B^*(k-1) \Phi^*(n_0, k) z,$$

can be written as follows

$$L_{B^{n_0}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_j,$$

where

$$L_{B_j^{n_0}} = B_j^{n_0} B_j^{n_0*} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{A_j \Theta(n_0, k)} B B^* e^{A_j^* \Theta(n_0, k)}$$

and $\Theta(n_0, k) = \frac{n_0^2 - k^2 - n_0 + k}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.1 (a) System (1.2) is exactly controllable if, and only if, there exist $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\langle L_{B_j^n} P_j z, P_j z \rangle \geq \gamma \|P_j z\|^2, \quad \forall z \in Z, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

(b) System (1.2) is approximately controllable if, and only if, each of the following system

$$z(n+1) = e^{A_j^n} z(n) + B_j u(n), \quad z(n) \in \text{Rang}(P_j), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (3.18)$$

is approximately controllable.

(c) System (1.2) is approximately controllable if, and only if,

$$\langle L_{B_j^n} P_j z, P_j z \rangle > 0, \quad \forall z \neq 0 \text{ in } Z, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof

(a) Suppose that there exist $\gamma > 0$ such that $\langle L_{B_j^n} P_j z, P_j z \rangle \geq \gamma \|P_j z\|^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_j z, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j z \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{A_j \Theta(n_0, k)} B B^* e^{A_j^* \Theta(n_0, k)} \right) P_j z, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j z \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{A_j \Theta(n_0, k)} B B^* e^{A_j^* \Theta(n_0, k)} P_j z, P_m z \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{A_j \Theta(n_0, k)} B B^* e^{A_j^* \Theta(n_0, k)} P_j z, P_j z \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_j z, P_j z \rangle \geq \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|P_j z\|^2 = \gamma \|z\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

So, (1.2) is exactly controllable by Theorem 2.1 part (a) – (ii). Conversely, suppose that (1.2) is exactly controllable, then by Theorem 2.1 part (a) – (ii), there exist $\gamma > 0$ such that $\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle \geq \gamma \|z\|^2$. In particular,

$$\langle L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_j z, P_j z \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{B_i^{n_0}} P_i P_j z, P_j z \right\rangle = \langle L_{B^{n_0}} P_j z, P_j z \rangle \geq \gamma \|P_j z\|^2,$$

which conclude the proof of (a).

(b) Assume that (1.2) is approximately controllable and there exists j such that

$$z(n+1) = e^{A_j n} z(n) B_j u(n), \quad z(n) \in \mathcal{R}(P_j), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

is not approximately controllable. Then by theorem 2.1 part (b) – (iii), there exist $z_j \in \mathcal{R}(P_j)$, $z_j \neq 0$ such that

$$B_j^* e^{A_j^* n} z_j = 0.$$

Moreover, since (1.2) is approximately controllable, we have

$$B^* T^*(n) z = B^* \Phi^*(n) z = 0 \Rightarrow z = 0.$$

Now, if we put $z = P_j z_j = z_j$, then

$$B^* T^*(n) z = B^* \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{A_k^* \Theta(n,k)} P_k z = B^* e^{A_j^* \Theta(n,k)} P_j z = (B_j)^* e^{A_j^* \Theta(n,k)} z_j = 0,$$

which implies that $z_j = 0$, and this contradicts the assumption. Therefore, (3.18) is approximately controllable for all j .

If (3.18) is approximately controllable for all j , then, by Theorem 2.1 part (b) – (ii),

$$\langle L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_j z, P_j z \rangle > 0, \quad z \neq 0.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_j z, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j z \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{A_j \Theta(n_0,k)} B B^* e^{A_j^* \Theta(n_0,k)} \right) P_j z, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j z \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{A_j \Theta(n_0,k)} B B^* e^{A_j^* \Theta(n_0,k)} P_j z, P_m z \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{A_j \Theta(n_0,k)} B B^* e^{A_j^* \Theta(n_0,k)} P_j z, P_j z \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_j z, P_j z \rangle > 0, \quad z \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (1.2) is approximately controllable and (b) is proved.

(c) follows immediately from (b) and Theorem 2.1 part (b). \square

4 Applications

Now, as an application of the main results of this paper we shall consider two important examples, a flow-discretization of the controlled heat equation and the controlled wave equation.

Example 4.1 Heat Equation

Consider the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} y_t = y_{xx} + u(t, x) \\ y(0, x) = y_0(x) \\ y_x(t, 0) = y_x(t, 1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

The system (4.19) can be written as an abstract equation in the space $Z = L^2[0, 1]$

$$\begin{cases} z' = -Az + Bu(t), z \in Z \\ z(0) = z_0 \end{cases} \quad (4.20)$$

where $B = I$, the control function u belong to $L^2[0, r, Z]$ and the operator A is given by $A\phi = -\phi_{xx}$ with domain $D(A) = H^2 \cap H_0^1$, and has the following spectral decomposition.

a) For all $z \in D(A)$ we have

$$Az = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 \pi^2 \langle z, \phi_j \rangle \phi_j,$$

where $\phi_j(x) = \sin(j\pi x)$.

b) $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ given by

$$T(t)z = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} E_j z, \quad z \in Z, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (4.21)$$

where $E_j z = \langle \phi_j, z \rangle \phi_j$ and $\lambda_j = j^2 \pi^2$.

So, $\{E_j\}$ is a family of complete orthogonal projections in Z and

$$z = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j z, \quad z \in Z.$$

Now, the discretization of (4.20) on flow is given by

$$\begin{cases} z(n+1) = T(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n), z \in Z \\ z(0) = z_0 \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

In this case, $T^*(t) = T(t)$ and $B = I$. We shall see that (4.22) is exactly controllable. In fact, in this case we have that:

$$B^{n_0} : l^2(\mathbb{N}, U) \longrightarrow Z, \quad B^{n_0}u = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} T(\Theta(n_0, k))u(k-1)$$

and

$$L_{B^{n_0}} : Z \longrightarrow Z, \quad L_{B^{n_0}} = B^{n_0}B^{n_0*} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{B_j^{n_0}} E_j z,$$

where $L_{B_j^{n_0}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{-2\lambda_j \Theta(n_0, k)}$.

Now, we shall prove the existence of $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\langle L_{B_j^{n_0}} E_j z, E_j z \rangle \geq \gamma \|E_j z\|^2.$$

This is equivalent to the existence of $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{-2\lambda_j \Theta(n_0, k)} - \gamma \right] \|E_j z\|^2 \geq 0,$$

which is obviously true for $0 < \gamma < 1$ since $e^{-2\lambda_j \Theta(n_0, n_0)} = 1$.

Then, for such γ we have

$$\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{B_j^{n_0}} E_j z, E_j z \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle L_{B_j^{n_0}} E_j z, E_j z \rangle \geq \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|E_j z\|^2 = \gamma \|z\|^2.$$

Thus, $\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle \geq \gamma \|z\|^2$, $z \in Z$. Therefore, applying Theorem 2.1 part (a) – (ii) we obtain that (4.22) is exactly controllable. \square

Example 4.2 Wave Equation

Consider the wave equation

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} = y_{xx} + u(t, x) \\ y(t, 0) = y(t, 1) = 0 \\ y(0, x) = y_0, y_t(0, x) = y_1(x) \end{cases} \quad (4.23)$$

The system (4.23) can be written as an abstract second order equation in the Hilbert space $X = L^2[0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} y'' = -Ay + u(t) \\ y(0) = y_0, y'(0) = y_1 \end{cases} \quad (4.24)$$

where the operator A is given by $A\phi = -\phi_{xx}$ with domain $D(A) = H^2 \cap H_0^1$, and has the following spectral decomposition.

For all $x \in D(A)$ we have

$$Ax = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \langle x, \phi_j \rangle \phi_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j E_j x,$$

where $\lambda_j = j^2\pi^2$, $\phi_j(x) = \sin(j\pi x)$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product in X and $E_j x = \langle x, \phi_j \rangle \phi_j$.

So, $\{E_j\}$ is a family of complete orthogonal projections in X and $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j x$, $x \in X$.

Using the change of variables $y' = v$, the second order equation (4.24) can be written as a first order system of ordinary differential equations in the Hilbert space $Z = X^{1/2} \times X$ as

$$\begin{cases} z' = \mathcal{A}z + Bu(t), z \in Z \\ z(0) = z_0 \end{cases} \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ v \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.26)$$

\mathcal{A} is an unbounded linear operator with domain $D(\mathcal{A}) = D(A) \times X$ and $u \in L^2(0, \tau, X) = U$. The proof of the following theorem follows from Theorem 3.1 (see, [7]) by putting $c = 0$ and $d = 1$.

Theorem 4.1 *The operator \mathcal{A} given by (4.26), is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ given by*

$$T(t)z = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{A_j t} P_j z, \quad z \in Z, t \geq 0, \quad (4.27)$$

where $\{P_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ is a complete family of orthogonal projections in the Hilbert space Z given by

$$P_j = \text{diag}[E_j, E_j], \quad j \geq 1 \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$A_j = \tilde{B}_j P_j, \quad \tilde{B}_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda_j & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad j \geq 1. \quad (4.29)$$

Now, the discretization of (4.25) on flow is given by

$$\begin{cases} z(n+1) = T(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n), & z \in Z \\ z(0) = z_0 \end{cases} \quad (4.30)$$

where

$$B : U \longrightarrow Z, \quad Bu = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix} u$$

We want to show that (4.30) is approximately controllable. In this case, we have

$$B^{n_0} : l^2(\mathbb{N}, U) \longrightarrow Z, \quad B^{n_0}u = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} T(\Theta(n_0, k))Bu(k-1)$$

and

$$L_{B^{n_0}} : Z \longrightarrow Z, \quad L_{B^{n_0}} = B^{n_0}B^{n_0*}$$

Since

$$BB^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix},$$

we have that

$$P_jBB^* = BB^*P_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4.31)$$

On the other hand, we have that $T^*(t) = T(-t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_{B^{n_0}}z &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} T(\Theta(n_0, k))BB^*T^*(\Theta(n_0, k))z \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{A_j\Theta(n_0, k)} P_jBB^* \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-A_j\Theta(n_0, k)} P_jz \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{A_j\Theta(n_0, k)} BB^* e^{-A_j\Theta(n_0, k)} P_jz \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_jz. \end{aligned}$$

where $L_{B_j^{n_0}} = B_j^{n_0}B_j^{n_0*} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} e^{A_j\Theta(n_0, k)} BB^* e^{-A_j\Theta(n_0, k)}$.

Hence, $L_{B^{n_0}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{B_j^{n_0}}$.

Let $z = [z_1, z_2]^T$ in Z . It is not difficult to verify that

$$L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_jz = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} n_0 [0, E_j z_2]^T.$$

Then

$$\langle L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_j z, P_j z \rangle = \langle n_0 [0, E_j z_2]^T, [E_j z_1, E_j z_2]^T \rangle = n_0 \|E_j z_2\|^2 > 0, \quad \forall j$$

Hence, using (4.31), we have for $z \neq 0$ in Z that

$$\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_j z, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j z \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle L_{B_j^{n_0}} P_j z, P_j z \rangle = n_0 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|E_j z_2\|^2 = n_0 \|z_2\|^2 > 0.$$

In consequence, by Lemma 3.1 part (c), the equation (4.30) is approximately controllable. \square

References

- [1] R.F. CURTAIN and A.J. PRITCHARD, "Infinite Dimensional Linear Systems", Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Vol. 8. Springer Verlag, Berlin (1978).
- [2] R.F. CURTAIN and H.J. ZWART, "An Introduction to Infinite Dimensional Linear Systems Theory", Text in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 21. Springer Verlag, New York (1995).
- [3] D. HENRY, "Geometry Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations", Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 840. Springer Verlag, Berlin (1981).
- [4] V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM and D. TRIGIANTE, Theory of Difference Equations: Numerical Methods and Applications, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 181.
- [5] S.N. CHOW and H. LEIVA, "Existence and roughness of the exponential dichotomy for linear skew-product semiflows in Banach spaces". J. Differential Equations Vol. 120 (1995), 429-477.
- [6] H. LEIVA, "A Lemma on C_0 -Semigroups and Applications PDEs Systems", Quaestiones Mathematicae, Vol. 26, pp. 247-265 (2003).
- [7] H. LEIVA, "Exact controllability of the suspension bridge model proposed by Lazer and McKenna", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 309 (2005), 404-419.
- [8] A.L. SASU, "Stabilizability and controllability for systems of difference equations", Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, Vol. 12, N \acute{u} 8, August 2006, 821-826.
- [9] M. MEGAN, A.L. SASU and B. SASU, "Discrete Admissibility and Exponential Dichotomy for Evolution Families", Discrete and Continuous Dinamical Systems, Vol. 9, N \acute{u} 2, March 2003, 383-397.

HUGO LEIVA

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad de Los Andes
Mérida 5101, Venezuela
e-mail: hleiva@ula.ve

JAHNETT UZCÁTEGUI

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad de Los Andes
Mérida 5101, Venezuela
e-mail: jahnettu@ula.ve