

Universidad de los Andes
Facultad de Ciencias
Departamento de Matemática

Integral representation for multilinear causal operators

Nelson Vilorio

Notas de Matemática

Serie: Pre-Print

No. 209

Mérida - Venezuela
2000

Diseño de Portada: Juan E. Rondón

Integral representation for multilinear causal operators

Nelson Viloría

In this paper, we establish an integral representation theorem for multilinear causal operators in the space of regulated functions. This theorem extends the linear case showed by Hönig[2]. In Viloría[5], we show this theorem for multilinear continuous operators on cartesian products of spaces of regulated functions of a real variable taking values on Banach spaces and in the case when the range is also a regulated functions space.

1 Regulated functions

We consider X, Y, W and Z Banach spaces and $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ a closed interval.

A **partition** of $[a, b]$ is a finite set $P = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$ with $P : a = t_0 < \dots < b = t_n$. We write $n(P) = n$ and $|P| = \max\{t_r - t_{r-1} : 1 \leq r \leq n(P)\}$. We denoted by $\mathbb{P}[a, b]$ the set of all partitions of $[a, b]$. We write $P_1 \leq P_2$ if $P_1 \subset P_2$.

A function $x : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is called a **step function**, and we write $x \in E([a, b], X)$, if there exists a partition $P = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$ of $[a, b]$ such that x is constant in each (t_{r-1}, t_r) for every $r, r = 1, \dots, n$.

A function $x : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is called a **regulated function** if it has one-sided limits at every point of $[a, b]$, i.e. if

- i) for every $t \in [a, b)$ there exists $x(t^+) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} x(t + \epsilon)$ and
- ii) for every $t \in (a, b]$ there exists $x(t^-) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} x(t - \epsilon)$.

The space of all regulated functions of $[a, b]$ in X is denoted by $G([a, b], X)$.

THEOREM 1.1. (HÖNIG[1], THEOREM I.3.1)

Given $x : [a, b] \rightarrow X$, the following properties are equivalent

- a) $x \in G([a, b], X)$,

- b) x is the uniform limit of step functions,
 c) for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $P \in \mathbb{P}[a, b]$ such that $\omega_P(x) < \epsilon$, where

$$\omega_P(x) = \sup_{1 \leq r \leq n(P)} \sup_{t, s \in (t_{r-1}, t_r)} \|x(t) - x(s)\|.$$

THEOREM 1.2. (HÖNIG[1], THEOREM I.3.6)
 $G([a, b], X)$ endowed with the sup norm is a Banach space.

DEFINITION 1.1. A function $x : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is a **left regulated function** if $x(a) = 0$ and $x(t) = x(t^-)$ for every $t \in (a, b]$. In this case we write $x \in G^-([a, b], X)$. This is a closed subspace of $G([a, b], X)$ (HÖNIG[1], THEOREM I.3.11).

DEFINITION 1.2. $x \in \Omega_0([a, b], X)$ if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ the set $\{t \in [a, b] : \|x(t)\| \geq \epsilon\}$ is finite.
 This definition implies that $\Omega_0([a, b], X)$ is a closed subspace of $G([a, b], X)$.
 In Hönig[2] it is proved that

$$G([a, b], X) = G^-([a, b], X) \oplus \Omega_0([a, b], X).$$

DEFINITION 1.3. A function $x : [a, b] \rightarrow L(W, X)$ is called **simply regulated function** if for every $w \in W$ the function

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot w : [a, b] &\longrightarrow X \\ t &\longmapsto x(t)w, \text{ is regulated.} \end{aligned}$$

In this case we write $x \in G^\sigma([a, b], L(W, X))$.

$G^\sigma([a, b], L(X, W))$ is a Banach space endowed with the sup norm and $G([a, b], L(W, X)) \subset G^\sigma([a, b], L(W, X))$ (HÖNIG[3], REMARK 1.5).

2 Functions of bounded semi-variation

DEFINITION 2.1. A **partition of a m -block**, $\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, is a finite

set $P = \prod_{r=1}^m P_r$, with $P_r \in \mathbb{P}[a_r, b_r]$, where $a_r = t_{o(r)} < \dots < t_{n(r)} = b_r$. We

set $n(P) = \prod_{r=1}^m n(P_r)$ and $|P| = \prod_{r=1}^m |P_r|$. We denoted for $\mathbb{P}\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r]\right)$ the set of all partitions of the m -block.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $z : \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \longrightarrow Z$ and $P = \prod_{r=1}^m P_r$, with $P_r \in \mathbb{P}[a_r, b_r]$ and $a_r = t_{o(r)} < \dots < t_{n(r)} = b_r$. Fixing r , we consider an entire $i(r)$ with $1 \leq i(r) \leq n$ and define

$$\Delta_{i(r)}z : \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} [a_j, b_j] \times \prod_{j=r+1}^m [a_j, b_j] \longrightarrow Z \text{ by}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{i(r)}z)(s_1, \dots, s_{r-1}, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_m) &= z(s_1, \dots, s_{r-1}, t_{i(r)}, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_m) \\ &\quad - z(s_1, \dots, s_{r-1}, t_{i(r)-1}, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_m). \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 1$, $\Delta_i z = z(t_i) - z(t_{i-1}) \in Z$.

For $m \geq 2$, we consider q , $1 \leq q \leq m$; in this case we can calculate $\Delta_{i(1)}(\Delta_{i(2)}(\dots \Delta_{i(q)}z)\dots)(s_{q+1}, \dots, s_m)$ and we denote it by

$$\Delta_{i(1)}\Delta_{i(2)}\dots\Delta_{i(q)}z.$$

DEFINITION 2.3. Let X_1, \dots, X_m and Y Banach spaces and consider $\prod_{r=1}^m X_r$ equipped with the usual product topology induced by the norms on X_r , $r = 1, \dots, m$. If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \prod_{r=1}^m X_r$, $\|x\| = \sup \|x_r\|$.

$\Lambda : \prod_{r=1}^m X_r \longrightarrow Y$ is called **multilinear** or **m-linear** if it is separately linear in each variable. We write $\Lambda \in L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$ if Λ is m -linear and continuous (i.e., exist $M \geq 0$ such that $\|\Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)\| \leq M\|x_1\| \cdots \|x_m\|$).

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $K : \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \longrightarrow Z$. The **Vitali variation** of K in

$\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r]$ is given by

$$V[K] = \sup_P V_P[K],$$

where

$$V_P[K] = \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \left| \Delta_{i(1)} \dots \Delta_{i(m)} K \right|, P \in \mathbb{P} \left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \right).$$

If $V[K] < \infty$ then K is said to be of **bounded Vitali variation** in $\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r]$ and we write $K \in BV\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], Z\right)$.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let $K : \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \rightarrow L(X, Y)$. The **Vitali semi-variation** of K in $\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r]$ is defined by

$$SV[K] = \sup_P SV_P[K],$$

where

$$SV_P[K] = \sup_{\|x_{i(1)\dots i(m)}\| \leq 1} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \Delta_{i(1)} \dots \Delta_{i(m)} K(x_{i(1)\dots i(m)}) \right\| : x_{i(1)\dots i(m)} \in X \right\}.$$

If $SV[K] < \infty$ then K is said to be of **bounded Vitali semi-variation** and we write $K \in SV\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X, Y)\right)$.

THEOREM 2.1. $BV\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X, Y)\right) \subset SV\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X, Y)\right)$ and if $K \in BV\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X, Y)\right)$, then $SV[K] \leq V[K]$.

PROOF. Given $K \in BV\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X, Y)\right)$, $P = \prod_{r=1}^m P_r$ with $P_r \in \mathbb{P}[a_r, b_r]$ and $x_{i(1)\dots i(m)} \in X$, with $1 \leq i(r) \leq n(P_r)$, $r = 1, \dots, m$, such that $\|x_{i(1)\dots i(m)}\| \leq 1$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \Delta_{i(1)} \dots \Delta_{i(m)} K(x_{i(1)\dots i(m)}) \right\| \leq \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \|\Delta_{i(1)} \dots \Delta_{i(m)} K\| \leq V[K].$$

Hence $SV[K] \leq V[K]$. ◊

DEFINITION 2.6. For $K : \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \longrightarrow L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$ we have the

Fréchet semi-variation of K in $\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r]$ defined by

$$SF[K] = \sup_P SF_P[K],$$

where

$$SF_P[K] = \sup_{\|x_{i(r)}\| \leq 1} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \Delta_{i(1)} \dots \Delta_{i(m)} K(x_{i(1)}, \dots, x_{i(m)}) \right\| : x_{i(r)} \in X_r \right\}$$

If $SF[K] < \infty$ then K is said to be of **bounded Fréchet semi-variation**

and we write $K \in SF\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)\right)$.

The next theorem is proved analogously as theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 2.2. $BV\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)\right) \subset SF\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)\right)$.

Moreover, if $K \in BV\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)\right)$, then $SF[K] \leq V[K]$.

3 Dushnik interior integral

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $e, (e_p)_{p \in \mathbb{P}}$ being points of a topological space E . We write $e = \lim_P e_p$ when for all neighborhood V of e there is $P_V \in \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$P \geq P_V \Rightarrow e_p \in V.$$

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $x_r : [a_r, b_r] \longrightarrow X_r; r = 1, \dots, m$ and

$K : \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \longrightarrow L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$. If $\lim_{P \in \mathbb{P}} \sigma_P$ exists, where

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r]\right) \text{ and } \sigma_P = \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \Delta_{i(1)} \dots \Delta_{i(m)} K(x_1(\xi_{i(1)}), \dots, x_m(\xi_{i(m)}))$$

with $\xi_{i(r)} \in (t_{i(r)-1} t_{i(r)})$, then it is called the **Dushnik interior integral** of the function $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ with respect to the kernel K and we denote it by

$$\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_m}^{b_m} d_{s_1 \dots s_m} K(s_1, \dots, s_m)(x_1(s_1), \dots, x_m(s_m)).$$

LEMMA 3.1. If $K \in SF\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)\right)$ and $x_r \in G([a_r, b_r], X_r)$, $r = 1, \dots, m$, then,

i) there exists $\Lambda_K x = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_m}^{b_m} d_{s_1 \dots s_m} K(s_1, \dots, s_m)(x_1(s_1), \dots, x_m(s_m))$,

ii) Λ_K is m -linear,

iii) $\|\Lambda_K x\| \leq SF[K] \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_m\|$,

iv) if $x_r \in \Omega_0([a_r, b_r], X_r)$ for any $r = 1, \dots, m$, then $\Lambda_K x = 0$.

PROOF. If $x_r = 0$ for any r or $K = 0$, then the result is immediate. Consider then $x_r \neq 0$, $r = 1, \dots, m$, and $K \neq 0$.

i) We will show that the Cauchy criterion holds.

Let $\epsilon > 0$, then for every r , $r = 1, \dots, m$, according to Theorem 1.1, there exists $P_r(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{P}[a_r, b_r]$ such that

$$\omega_{P_r(\epsilon)}(x_r) < \frac{\epsilon \|x_r\|}{2SF[K] \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_m\|}.$$

If $P \geq P(\epsilon) = \prod_{r=1}^m P_r(\epsilon)$, we can obtain P from $P(\epsilon)$ by inserting

a finite number of points in the partitions $P_r(\epsilon)$. By induction, we are thus reduced to the case when P is obtained inserting one point in some partition $P_k(\epsilon)$ for some $k, k = 1, \dots, m$. Let \mathcal{O}_k the point considered in some interval of $P_k(\epsilon)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_P - \sigma_{P(\epsilon)} &= \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \Delta_{i(1)} \cdots \Delta_{i(m)} K(x_1(\xi_{i(1)}), \dots, x_{k-1}(\xi_{i(k-1)}), \\ &\quad x_k(\xi_{i(k)}) - x_k(\xi_{\mathcal{O}_k}), x_{k+1}(\xi_{i(k+1)}), \dots, x_m(\xi_{i(m)})) \\ &= \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \Delta_{i(1)} \cdots \Delta_{i(m)} K\left(\frac{x_1(\xi_{i(1)})}{\|x_1\|}, \dots, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{2SF[K] \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_m\|}{\epsilon \|x_k\|} (x_k(\xi_{i(k)}) - x_k(\xi_{\mathcal{O}_k})), \dots, \frac{x_m(\xi_{i(m)})}{\|x_m\|}\right) \frac{\epsilon}{2SF[K]}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\|\sigma_P - \sigma_{P(\epsilon)}\| \leq SF[K] \frac{\epsilon}{2SF[K]} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Consequently

$$P, \bar{P} \geq P(\epsilon) \implies \|\sigma_P - \sigma_{\bar{P}}\| \leq \epsilon.$$

ii) Can be proved directly by the definition.

iii) For any $P \in \mathbb{P}\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r]\right)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_P\| &= \left\| \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \Delta_{i(1)} \dots \Delta_{i(m)} K \left(\frac{x_1(\xi_{i(1)})}{\|x_1\|}, \dots, \frac{x_m(\xi_{i(m)})}{\|x_m\|} \right) \right\| \cdot \|x_1\| \dots \|x_m\| \\ &\leq SF[K] \|x_1\| \dots \|x_m\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking the limit, it results

$$\|\Lambda_K x\| \leq SF[K] \|x_1\| \dots \|x_m\|.$$

iv) Without loss of generality, we can consider $x_1 \in \Omega_0([a_1, b_1], X_1)$. Then by the definition of Ω_0 , $\forall \epsilon > 0$ there exists $P_1(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{P}[a_1, b_1]$ such that

$$\{t \in [a_1, b_1] : \|x_1(t)\| \geq \epsilon/SF[K] \|x_2\| \dots \|x_m\|\} \subset P_1(\epsilon).$$

Hence, if $P = \prod_{r=1}^m P_r$ with $P_1 \geq P_1(\epsilon)$ and the other partitions being arbitrary, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_P\| &= \left\| \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \Delta_{i(1)} \dots \Delta_{i(m)} K \left(\frac{SF[K] \|x_2\| \dots \|x_m\|}{\epsilon} x_1(\xi_{i(1)}), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{x_2(\xi_{i(2)})}{\|x_2\|}, \dots, \frac{x_m(\xi_{i(m)})}{\|x_m\|} \right) \right\| \frac{\epsilon}{SF[K]} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Lambda_K x = 0$. ◇

Such as in the bilinear case (Prandini[4], Theorem 4.3) the following result holds

THEOREM 3.1. *If $K \in SF\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)\right)$ and $x_r \in G([a_r, b_r], X_r)$ for every $r, r = 1, \dots, m$. Then*

$$\Lambda_K x = \int_{a_m}^{b_m} d_{s_m} \dots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} d_{s_1} K(s_1, \dots, s_m) x_1(s_1) \cdots x_m(s_m).$$

4 Integral representation

DEFINITION 4.1. *Let $K : \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \rightarrow L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Z)$ we write*

$K \in SF_{a^m}\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Z)\right)$ when

$$K(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, a_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_m) = 0 \quad \forall i, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

THEOREM 4.1. *The mapping $K \mapsto \Lambda_K$, where*

$$\Lambda_K x = \int_{a_m}^{b_m} d_{s_m} \dots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} d_{s_1} K(s_1, \dots, s_m) x_1(s_1) \cdots x_m(s_m)$$

is an isometry between the Banach spaces

$$SF_{a^m}\left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Z)\right)$$

and

$$L(G^-([a_1, b_1], X_1), \dots, G^-([a_m, b_m], X_m); Z),$$

moreover

$$K(s_1, \dots, s_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) = \Lambda_K(\chi_{(a_1, s_1]} \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, s_m]} \bar{x}_m)$$

and

$$\|\Lambda_K\| = SF[K].$$

PROOF. By Lemma 3.1 the mapping is well defined, linear and continuous, and we have $\|\Lambda\| \leq SF[K]$.

Injectivity: If $K \neq 0$ there are $\tau_r \in (a_r, b_r]$ and $\bar{x}_r \in X_r, r = 1, \dots, m$ such that

$$K(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) \neq 0.$$

Let $x_r = X_{(a_r, \tau_r]} \bar{x}_r \in G^-([a_r, b_r], X_r)$, then $\Lambda_K \neq 0$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_K x &= \int_{a_m}^{b_m} \dots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} d_{s_1 \dots s_m} K(s_1, \dots, s_m) (\chi_{(a_1, \tau_1]}(s_1) \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, \tau_m]}(s_m) \bar{x}_m) \\ &= K(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m). \end{aligned}$$

Surjectivity: Give $\Lambda \in L(G^-([a_1, b_1], X_1), \dots, G^-([a_m, b_m], X_m); Y)$, if there exists $K \in SF_{a^m} \left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y) \right)$ such that $\Lambda = \Lambda_K$ then

$$K(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) = \Lambda(\chi_{(a_1, \tau_1]} \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, \tau_m]} \bar{x}_m),$$

$\tau_r \in (a_r, b_r]$ and $\bar{x}_r \in X_r, r = 1, \dots, m$. We are taking this as a definition of K . To end the proof we must show a) $SF[K] \leq \|\Lambda\|$ and b) $\Lambda_K = \Lambda$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } SF_P[K] &= \sup_{\|\bar{x}_{i(r)}\| \leq 1} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(p)} \Delta_{i(1)} \dots \Delta_{i(m)} K(\bar{x}_{i(1)}, \dots, \bar{x}_{i(m)}) \right\| : \bar{x}_{i(r)} \in X_r \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\|\bar{x}_{i(r)}\| \leq 1} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(p)} \Lambda(\chi_{(t_{i(1)}-1, t_{i(1)}}] \bar{x}_{i(1)}, \dots, \chi_{(t_{i(m)}-1, t_{i(m)}}] \bar{x}_{i(m)}) \right\| \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\|\bar{x}_{i(r)}\| \leq 1} \left\{ \left\| \Lambda \left(\sum_{i(1)=1}^{n(p_1)} \chi_{(t_{i(1)}-1, t_{i(1)}}] \bar{x}_{i(1)}, \dots, \sum_{i(m)=1}^{n(p_m)} \chi_{(t_{i(m)}-1, t_{i(m)}}] \bar{x}_{i(m)} \right) \right\| \right\} \\ &\leq \|\Lambda\|. \end{aligned}$$

b) We have $\Lambda, \Lambda_K \in L(G^-([a_1, b_1], X_1), \dots, G^-([a_m, b_m], X_m); Y)$. In order to show the equality $\Lambda_K = \Lambda$ it is enough to prove that they coincide on the elements of the form $\chi_{(a_1, \tau_1]} \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, \tau_m]} \bar{x}_m$ since these elements form a total set in $G^-([a_1, b_1], X_1), \dots, G^-([a_m, b_m], X_m)$, respectively. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda_K(\chi_{(a_1, \tau_1]} \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, \tau_m]} \bar{x}_m) = \\ &= \int_{a_m}^{b_m} \dots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} d_{s_1 \dots s_m} K(s_1 \dots s_m) (\chi_{(a_1, \tau_1]}(s_1) \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, \tau_m]}(s_m) \bar{x}_m) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= K(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) \\
&= \Lambda(\chi_{(a_1, \tau_1]}\bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, \tau_m]}\bar{x}_m). \quad \diamond
\end{aligned}$$

Consider now the case for operators between function spaces.

DEFINITION 4.2. Let $K : [a, b] \times \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \longrightarrow L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$. We define $K^t : \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \longrightarrow L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$ and $K_{s^m} : [a, b] \longrightarrow L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$ as being

$$K^t(s_1, \dots, s_m) = K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) = K_{s^m}(t).$$

Also, consider the following properties:

(G^σ) : K is **simply regulated as a function of t** , i.e.

$$K_{s^m} \in G^\sigma([a, b], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)).$$

(SF^u) : K is **uniformly of bounded Fréchet semi-variation as a function of (s_1, \dots, s_m)** , i.e. $SF^u[K] = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} SF[K^t] < \infty$.

$(SF_{a^m}^u)$: K satisfies (SF^u) and $K(t, a_1, \dots, a_m) = 0 \forall t \in [a, b]$.

When K verify both (G^σ) and (SF^u) , then we write

$$K \in G^\sigma \cdot SF^u \left([a, b] \times \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y) \right).$$

Analogously when $K \in G^\sigma \cdot SF_{a^m}^u$.

THEOREM 4.2. The mapping $K \longmapsto \Lambda_K$, for which

$$\Lambda_K(x_1, \dots, x_m)(t) = \int_{a_m}^{b_m} d_{s_m} \dots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} d_{s_1} K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) x_1(s_1) \cdots x_m(s_m)$$

is an isometry between the Banach spaces

$$G^\sigma \cdot SF_{a^m}^u \left([a, b] \times \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y) \right)$$

and

$$L(G^-([a_1, b_1], X_1), \dots, G^-([a_m, b_m], X_m); G([a, b], Y)),$$

putting $K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) = \Lambda_K(\chi_{(a_1, s_1]}\bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, s_m]}\bar{x}_m)(t)$. We have furthermore, $\|\Lambda_K\| = SF^u[K]$.

PROOF. For $t \in [a, b]$, K_{s_m} is of bounded Fréchet semi-variation; by other hand x_r is regulated, for $r = 1, \dots, m$, then $\Lambda_K(x_1, \dots, x_m)(t)$ is well defined. Analogously, as in the previous theorem linearity and injectivity are direct consequences of the definition. Again for $t \in [a, b]$,

$$\|(\Lambda_K x)(t)\| \leq SF[K^t]\|x_1\| \cdots \|x_m\|.$$

Hence

$$\|\Lambda_K x\| \leq SF^u[K]\|x_1\| \cdots \|x_m\|.$$

Then

$$\|\Lambda_K\| \leq SF^u[K].$$

Surjectivity: Let $\Lambda \in L(G^-([a_1, b_1], X_1), \dots, G^-([a_m, b_m], X_m); G^-([a, b], Y))$. By the previous theorem there exists

$$\bar{K} \in SF_{a^m} \left(\prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; G([a, b], Y)) \right)$$

such that

$$\Lambda x = \int_{a_m}^{b_m} d_{s_m} \cdots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} d_{s_1} \bar{K}(s_1, \dots, s_m) x_1(s_1) \cdots x_m(s_m),$$

when

$$\bar{K}(s_1, \dots, s_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) = \Lambda(\chi_{(a_1, s_1]}\bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, s_m]}\bar{x}_m).$$

Defining, then,

$$K : [a, b] \times \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r] \longrightarrow L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$$

as

$$K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) = (\bar{K}(s_1, \dots, s_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m))(t),$$

we have

$$\Lambda(\chi_{(a_1, s_1]} \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, s_m]} \bar{x}_m)(t) = K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m),$$

and again

$$(\Lambda x)(t) = \int_{a_m}^{b_m} d_{s_m} \dots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} d_{s_1} K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) x_1(s_1) \dots x_m(s_m).$$

Now we will prove that $K \in G^\sigma \cdot SF_{a^m}^u \left([a, b] \times \prod_{r=1}^m [a_r, b_r], L(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y) \right)$.

a) $K(t, a_1, \dots, a_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) = (\bar{K}(a_1, \dots, a_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m))(t) = 0.$

b) K is uniform of bounded Fréchet semi-variation in (s_1, \dots, s_m) . Indeed, let $P = \prod_{r=1}^m P_r, P_r \in \mathbb{P}[a_r, b_r]$ and $\bar{x}_{i(r)} \in X_r$ with $\|\bar{x}_{i(r)}\| \leq 1 \forall i(r), 1 \leq i(r) \leq n(P_r), \forall r = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m)}^{n(P)} \Delta_{i(1)} \dots \Delta_{i(m)} K(t)(\bar{x}_{i(1)}, \dots, \bar{x}_{i(m)}) \right\| = \\ & = \left\| \Lambda \left(\sum_{i(1)=1}^{n(P_1)} \chi_{(t_{i(1)-1}, t_{i(1)}]} \bar{x}_{i(1)}, \dots, \sum_{i(1)=1}^{n(P_m)} \chi_{(t_{i(m)-1}, t_{i(m)}]} \bar{x}_{i(m)} \right) (t) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \Lambda \left(\sum_{i(1)=1}^{n(P_1)} \chi_{(t_{i(1)-1}, t_{i(1)}]} \bar{x}_{i(1)}, \dots, \sum_{i(1)=1}^{n(P_m)} \chi_{(t_{i(m)-1}, t_{i(m)}]} \bar{x}_{i(m)} \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \|\Lambda\| \left\| \sum_{i(1)=1}^{n(P_1)} \chi_{(t_{i(1)-1}, t_{i(1)}]} \bar{x}_{i(1)} \right\| \dots \left\| \sum_{i(1)=1}^{n(P_m)} \chi_{(t_{i(m)-1}, t_{i(m)}]} \bar{x}_{i(m)} \right\| \leq \|\Lambda\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$SF[K^t] \leq \|\Lambda\| \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

And, then,

$$SF^u[K] \leq \|\Lambda\|.$$

- c) K is simply regulated as function of the variable t ; since Λ takes values in $G([a, b], Y)$ according to the definition of K , we have that, for every (s_1, \dots, s_m) , the function

$$\phi : [a, b] \longrightarrow Y$$

defined by

$$\phi(t) = K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m),$$

for all $(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m)$, is regulated.

◇

For close this section we treat the causal operators case.

DEFINITION 4.3. Let $K \in G^\sigma \cdot SF^u([a, b]^{m+1}, L_m(X, Y))$ where $[a, b]^{m+1} = \prod_{r=1}^{m+1} [a, b]$ and $L_m(X; Y) = L(X, \dots, X; Y)$. If for every $x \in X$ the function $K_\Delta : [a, b] \longrightarrow Y$ defined by $t \longmapsto K(t, \dots, t)(x, \dots, x)$ is regulated, we say that K is **simply regulated on the diagonal** and we denote this by

$$K \in G_\Delta^\sigma \cdot SF^u([a, b]^{m+1}, L_m(X; Y)).$$

If in addition $K_\Delta(t) = 0$, for every $t \in [a, b]$ then we say that K **vanish in the diagonal** and we write

$$K \in G_\circ^\sigma \cdot SF^u([a, b]^{m+1}, L_m(X; Y)).$$

DEFINITION 4.4. $P \in L_m(G([a, b], X); G([a, b], Y))$ is a **causal operator** if for every $x \in G([a, b], X)$ and for every $T \in [a, b]$,

$$x|_{[a, T]} = 0 \Rightarrow P(x, \dots, x)|_{[a, T]} = 0.$$

DEFINITION 4.5. Let $K \in G^\sigma \cdot SF^u([a, b]^{m+1}, L_m(X; Y))$. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ with $x_r \in G^-([a, b], X)$, $r = 1, \dots, m$, we define

$$(kx)(t) = \int_a^t d_{s_m} \dots \int_a^t d_{s_1} K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) x_1(s_1) \dots x_m(s_m),$$

for $t \in [a, b]$.

Now we prove that the causal multilinear operators are also representables.

THEOREM 4.3. The mapping $K \mapsto k$ is an isometry between the Banach space $G^\sigma \cdot SF^u([a, b]^{m+1}, L_m(X; Y))$ and the subspace of the causal operators of $L_m(G^-([a, b], X); G([a, b], Y))$, where $\|k\| = SF^u[K]$ and $K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) = k(\chi_{(a,t]} \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a,t]} \bar{x}_m)(t)$.

PROOF. Given $K \in G^\sigma \cdot SF^u([a, b]^{m+1}, L_m(X; Y))$ we define

$$\tilde{K}(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) = \begin{cases} K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) & \text{if } t \geq s_r, \text{ for every } r, r = 1, \dots, m \\ K(t, t, \dots, t) & \text{if } t < s_r, \text{ for some } r, r = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

If $K \in G^\sigma_\Delta \cdot SF^u([a, b]^{m+1}, L_m(X; Y))$, then $\tilde{K} \in G^\sigma_\Delta \cdot SF^u([a, b]^{m+1}, L_m(X; Y))$. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (kx)(t) &= \int_a^t d_{s_m} \dots \int_a^t d_{s_1} K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) x_1(s_1) \dots x_m(s_m) \\ &= \int_a^b d_{s_m} \dots \int_a^b d_{s_1} \tilde{K}(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) x_1(s_1) \dots x_m(s_m). \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous theorem we have

$$kx \in G([a, b], Y), x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

with

$$x_r \in G([a, b], X), r = 1, \dots, m.$$

Reciprocally, if $\Lambda \in L_m(G^-([a, b], X); G([a, b], Y))$ is a causal operator then, by the previous theorem, there exists $\bar{K} \in G^\sigma \cdot SF^u_a([a, b]^{m+1}, L_m(X; Y))$ such that

$$(\Lambda x)(t) = \int_a^b d_{\tau_m} \cdots \int_a^b d_{\tau_1} \bar{K}(t, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) x_1(\tau_1) \cdots x_m(\tau_m)$$

where $\bar{K}(t, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) = \Lambda(\chi_{(a_1, \tau_1]} \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a_m, \tau_m]} \bar{x}_m)(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$, $\bar{x}_r \in X$ and $\tau_r \in (a, b]$, $r = 1, \dots, m$.

Since Λ is causal, for $s_r \geq t$, $r = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\Lambda(\chi_{(a, s_1]} \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a, s_m]} \bar{x}_m)(t) = \Lambda(\chi_{(a, t]} \bar{x}_1, \dots, \chi_{(a, t]} \bar{x}_m)(t),$$

i.e.

$$\bar{K}(t, s_1, \dots, s_m)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) = \bar{K}(t, \dots, t)(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m).$$

Once more:

$$(\Lambda x)(t) = \int_a^t d_{s_m} \cdots \int_a^t d_{s_1} \bar{K}(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) x_1(s_1) \cdots x_m(s_m).$$

If $x_r(s_r) = \bar{c}_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda x)(t) &= \int_a^t d_{s_m} \cdots \int_a^t d_{s_1} \bar{K}(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) \bar{c}_1 \cdots \bar{c}_m \\ &= \bar{K}(t, \dots, t) + \sum_{s_i \in \{t, a\}}^{2^m} (-1)^{\#(a)} \bar{K}(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) \bar{c}_1 \cdots \bar{c}_m, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \#(a) = \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i, \text{ with } \delta_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_i = a, \\ 0 & \text{if } s_i = t. \end{cases}$$

Since Λx is simply regulated and $K \in G^\sigma \cdot SF^u$ then the mapping $t \mapsto \bar{K}(t, s, \dots, s)$ is regulated and hence the mapping $t \mapsto \bar{K}(t, \dots, t)$ is regulated. Then $\bar{K} \in G_\Delta^\sigma \cdot SF^u([a, b]^{m+1}, L_m(X; Y))$.

Let $K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) = \bar{K}(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) - \bar{K}(t, t, \dots, t)$. Then $\bar{K} \in G_\Delta^\sigma \cdot SF^u$ and $K(t, \dots, t) = 0$ for every $t \in [a, b]$. Hence $K_\Delta(t) = 0$ for every $t \in [a, b]$ and

$$K \in G_\circ^\sigma \cdot SF^n$$

and

$$(\Lambda x)(t) = \int_a^t d_{s_m} \cdots \int_a^t d_{s_1} K(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) x_1(s_1) \cdots x_m(s_m).$$

◇

References

- [1] C. Hönl, *Volterra-Stieltjes Integral Equations*, Math. Studies 16, North-Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam (1975).
- [2] C. Hönl, *Volterra-Stieltjes Integral Equations*, Funct. Diff. Eq. and Bif., Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 799, pag. 173-216 (1979).
- [3] C. Hönl, *Equations Intégrales Généralisées et Applications*, Publ. Math. D'Orsay, Exp. no. 5 (1982).
- [4] J. Prandini, *Extensions of the Representation Theorems of Riesz and Fréchet*, Mathematica Bohemica 118, No. 3, pag. 297-312 (1993).
- [5] N. Vitoria, *Aproximação de operadores não-lineares no espaço das funções regradas*, Trabalhos do Departamento de Matemática, RT-MAT 96-13, IME-USP, Brasil (1996).

Notas de Matemática es una colección destinada principalmente a reunir los trabajos de investigación, tesis, tesis de grado, notas de curso, conferencias, seminarios, escrito por miembros del Departamento de Matemática de la Universidad de los Andes y colaboradores invitados.

Esta publicación no tendrá carácter periódico. Los fascículos serán numerados en forma continua.

Las Universidades, Academias, Sociedades Científicas y Editores de Revistas de Matemáticas quedan invitados a canjear sus publicaciones por el Departamento de Matemática de la Universidad de los Andes.

Toda la correspondencia relativa a esta colección deberá ser enviada a:

Publicaciones
Departamento de Matemática
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad de los Andes
Mérida 5101
Venezuela
Correo-E: notas@ciens.ula.ve

Notas de Matemática is a series which gathers original research works, thesis, seminars conferences, written by members of the Department of Mathematics of Universidad de los Andes and invited collaborators.

This publication will not be issued at a fixed frequency. The issues will be enumerated sequentially.

Universities, Academies, Scientific Societies and Editors of Mathematical Journals are invited to exchange their publication with ours.

All correspondence should be sent to:

Publicaciones
Departamento de Matemática
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad de los Andes
Mérida 5101
Venezuela
E-mail: notas@ciens.ula.ve