

**Universidad de los Andes**  
**Facultad de Ciencias**  
**Departamento de Matemática**

---

**Stable Periodic Orbits for a PREDATOR-PREY MODEL  
with Delay**

Mario Cavani, Marcos Lizana and Hal L. Smith

**Notas de Matemática**

**Serie: Pre-Print**

**No. 206**

---

**Mérida - Venezuela**  
**2000**

## Stable Periodic Orbits for a PREDATOR-PREY MODEL with Delay

### Abstract

In this paper we consider a predator-prey model with time lag that improve the proposed by Cavani and Farkas in [1]. We show that when the model has exactly one non-trivial unstable and hyperbolic equilibrium there exists a stable periodic orbit.

**Thanks.** We wish to thank Prof. Miklos Farkas for his detailed criticism along the introduction to the predator prey model considered in this paper.

**Keywords.** Predator-prey model. Stable periodic orbit. Delay. Uniform persistence

**Subjclass.** Primary ,Secondary

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we will consider a predator-prey model with delay and non-constant death rate, described by the following integro-differential system

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} N' &= N \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{K}(K - N) - \frac{aP}{\beta + N} \right] , \\ P' &= P \left[ -M(P) + b \int_{-\infty}^t \alpha \frac{N(\tau)}{\beta + N(\tau)} \exp(-\alpha(t - \tau)) d\tau \right] , \end{aligned}$$

where the exponential weight function satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^t \alpha \exp(-\alpha(t - \tau)) d\tau = \int_0^{\infty} \alpha \exp(-\alpha s) ds = 1.$$

We are assuming in a more realistic fashion that the present level of the predator affects instantaneously the growth of the prey, but the growth of the predator is influenced by the amount of prey in the past. More precisely, the predator grow up depending on the weight average time of the function of Michaelis-Menten of  $N$  over the past by mean of the the function  $Q(t)$  given by the following integral

$$(1.2) \quad Q(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t \alpha \frac{N(\tau)}{\beta + N(\tau)} \exp(-\alpha(t - \tau)) d\tau \quad , \quad \alpha > 0 \quad .$$

Clearly this assumption implies that the influence of the past fading away exponentially and the number  $1/\alpha$  might be interpreted as the measure

of the influence of the past. So, to smaller  $\alpha > 0$ , longer is the interval in the past in which the values of  $N$  are taken into account, see [1], [3], [6] .

$N(t)$  and  $P(t)$  denote the quantities of prey and predator, respectively;  $\varepsilon > 0$  is the specific growth rate of prey in the absence of predation and without environmental limitation;  $K > 0$  is the carrying capacity of prey in the absence of predators. The functional response of the predator is of Michaelis-Menten or Holling's type (see [4]) with satiation coefficient  $a > 0$  and conversion rate  $b > 0$ . The specific mortality of predators is giving by

$$(1.3) \quad M(P) = \frac{\gamma + \delta P}{1 + P} = \delta + \frac{\gamma - \delta}{1 + P},$$

which depends on the quantity of predators. Here,  $\gamma > 0$  is the mortality at low density and  $\delta > 0$  is the limiting mortality, the natural assumption being that  $0 < \gamma \leq \delta$  . This model, introduced in [1], differs from more often used models in that the predator mortality is neither a constant nor an unbounded function, rather, it is increasing with the quantity of predators. When  $\gamma = \delta$ , system (1.1) without delay ( $Q = N$ ) reduces to the classical predator-prey system with Holling type functional response which has been extensively studied. Hereafter, we assume that  $\gamma < \delta$ .

The integro-differential system (1.1) can be transformed into (see [2],[3]) the following system of ordinary differential equations on the interval  $[0, \infty)$

$$(1.4) \quad N' = N \left[ \left(1 - \frac{N}{K}\right)\varepsilon - \frac{aP}{\beta + N} \right] ,$$

$$(1.5) \quad P' = P [-M(P) + bQ] ,$$

$$(1.6) \quad Q' = \alpha \left[ \frac{N}{\beta + N} - Q \right] .$$

We understand the relationship between the two systems as follows : If  $(N, P) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is the solution of (1.1) corresponding to a continuous and bounded initial function  $\tilde{N} : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $P(0) = P_0$ , then  $(N, P, Q) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is solution of (1.4)-(1.6) with  $N(0) = \tilde{N}(0)$ ,  $P(0) = P_0$ , and

$$Q(0) = Q_0 = \int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha \frac{\tilde{N}(\tau)}{\beta + \tilde{N}(\tau)} \exp(\alpha\tau) d\tau .$$

Conversely, if  $(N, P, Q)$  is any solution of (1.4)-(1.6), defined on the entire real line and bounded on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , then  $Q$  is given by (1.2) so  $(N, P)$  satisfies (1.1).

The main concern of this paper is to study dynamics of the system (1.4)-(1.6). More concretely, we will show that when (1.4)-(1.6) has just one non-trivial unstable and hyperbolic equilibrium, there exists a stable periodic orbit.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we will summarize the main facts related with our research. Let us consider the system of differential equations

$$(2.1) \quad x' = F(x) \quad , \quad x \in D \quad ,$$

where  $D$  is an open subset on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $F$  is twice continuously differentiable in  $D$ . The noncontinuable solution of (2.1) satisfying  $x(0) = x_0$  is denoted by  $x(t, x_0)$ , the positive (negative) semi-orbit through  $x_0$  is denoted by  $\varphi^+(x_0)$  ( $\varphi^-(x_0)$ ), and the orbit through  $x_0$  is denoted by  $\varphi(x_0) = \varphi^-(x_0) \cup \varphi^+(x_0)$ . We use the notation  $\omega(x_0)$  ( $\alpha(x_0)$ ) for the positive (negative) limit set of  $\varphi^+(x_0)$  ( $\varphi^-(x_0)$ ) provided the later semi-orbit has compact closure in  $D$ .

System (2.1) is said to be competitive in  $D$  if the Jacobian matrix of  $F$  at  $x$ ,  $F'(x)$ , has nonpositive off-diagonal elements

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} \leq 0 \quad , \quad i \neq j$$

at each point of  $D$ . System (2.1) is said to be competitive and irreducible in  $D$  provided the Jacobian matrix is an irreducible matrix at each point  $x \in D$  and (2.1) is competitive in  $D$ . Recall that an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is irreducible if for each nonempty proper subset  $I$  of  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  there exist  $i \in I$  and  $j \in N - I$  such that  $A_{ij} \neq 0$ .

For vectors  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  the inequality  $x \ll y$  ( $x \leq y$ ) means that  $x_i < y_i$  ( $x_i \leq y_i$ ) holds for all  $i$  and  $x < y$  means that  $x \leq y$  but  $x \neq y$ . Two vectors  $x$  and  $y$  are related if either  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$  and unrelated otherwise. The open set  $D$  is said to be p-convex provided that for every  $x$  and  $y$  belonging to  $D$  for which  $x \leq y$  the line segment joining  $x$  and  $y$  belongs to  $D$ .

The following theorem is proved in [7]

**Theorem 1.** *Let (2.1) be a competitive system in  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and suppose that  $D$  contains a unique equilibrium point  $p$  which is hyperbolic and assume that  $F'(p)$  is irreducible. Suppose further that  $W^s(p)$ , the stable manifold of  $p$ , is one dimensional. If  $q \in D \setminus W^s(p)$  and  $\varphi^+(q)$  has compact closure in  $D$ , then  $\omega(q)$  is a nontrivial periodic orbit.*

The existence of an orbitally stable periodic solution can also be proved. We introduce the following hypothesis.

- (H1) System (2.1) is dissipative : For each  $x \in D$ ,  $\varphi^+(x)$  has compact closure in  $D$ . Moreover, there exists a compact subset  $B$  of  $D$  with the property that for each  $x \in D$  there exists  $T(x) > 0$  such that  $x(t, x) \in B$  for  $t \geq T(x)$ .
- (H2) System (2.1) is competitive and irreducible in  $D$ .
- (H3)  $D$  is an open, p-convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- (H4)  $D$  contains a unique equilibrium point  $x^*$  and  $\det(F'(x^*)) < 0$ .

The following result holds (see [8]):

**Theorem 2.** *Let (H1) through (H4) hold. Then either*

- (a)  $x^*$  is stable, or
- (b) there exists a nontrivial orbitally stable periodic orbit in  $D$ .

*In addition, let us assume that  $F$  is analytic in  $D$ . If  $x^*$  is unstable then there is at least one but no more than finitely many periodic orbits for (2.1) and at least one of these is orbitally asymptotically stable*

Our system (1.4)-(1.6) can be transformed to a competitive system. Let us set  $u = (N, P, Q)^T$ ,  $v = (x, y, z)^T$  and  $H = \text{diag}[1, 1, -1]$ . The transformation  $v = Hu$  in the system (1.4)-(1.6), results in

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} x' &= x \left[ \left(1 - \frac{x}{K}\right) \varepsilon - \frac{\alpha y}{\beta + x} \right] , \\ y' &= y [-bz - M(y)] , \\ z' &= -\alpha \left( \frac{x}{\beta + x} + z \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Let  $F$  denote the right hand side of (2.2). Then the Jacobian of  $F$  is given by

$$F'(v) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & -\frac{\alpha x}{\beta + x} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & -by \\ -\frac{\alpha \beta}{(\beta + x)^2} & 0 & -\alpha \end{bmatrix} ,$$

where  $a_{ii}$  are irrelevant for determining whether (2.2) is competitive or irreducible. Obviously, (2.2) is competitive and irreducible in the open region  $D = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x > 0, y > 0, z < 0\}$ . Our main results will follow from this observation and the above theorems.

### 3. LOCATION OF EQUILIBRIA AND DISSIPATIVENESS

For simplicity, let us rewrite (1.4)-(1.6) as follows

$$N' = \frac{a}{b} h(N) [f(N) - P] , \quad P' = P [bQ - M(P)] , \quad Q' = \frac{\alpha N}{\beta + N} - \alpha Q ,$$

where

$$f(N) = \frac{\varepsilon}{aK} (K - N)(\beta + N) , \quad M(P) = \frac{\gamma + \delta P}{1 + P} .$$

The equilibria of (1.4)-(1.6) consist of two trivial critical points  $E_1 = (0, 0, 0)$  and  $E_2 = (K, 0, \frac{K}{\beta + K})$  in the boundary of  $\mathbb{E}$ , the nonnegative octant in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and a set of nontrivial critical points obtained as the intersection of the following curves

$$(3.1) \quad P = f(N) , \quad Q = \frac{N}{\beta + N} , \quad P = g(N) \equiv M^{-1}(h(N)) = c \frac{N - d}{e - N} ,$$

where

$$c = \frac{b - \gamma}{b - \delta} \quad , \quad d = \frac{\beta\gamma}{b - \gamma} \quad , \quad e = \frac{\beta\delta}{b - \delta} \quad , \quad h(N) = \frac{bN}{N + \beta} .$$

Now, it is apparent from the first and last of (3.1), which combine to give a cubic equation for  $N$ , that there exist at most three such nontrivial equilibria  $(N, P, Q)$ . Observe that from (3.1), it follows that any nontrivial equilibrium has to satisfy the condition  $0 < N < K$ . Since, we are interested in the case when  $0 < \gamma < \delta$ , we obtain that  $b - \delta < b - \gamma$ . So, we have the following cases :

- (i)  $0 < b - \delta < b - \gamma$  ,
- (ii)  $b - \delta < b - \gamma < 0$  , and
- (iii)  $b - \delta < 0 < b - \gamma$  .

Let us consider the case (i). A straightforward computation shows that  $c > 0$  ,  $0 < d < e$  . Thus, if  $d < K$ , then there exists exactly one nontrivial equilibrium. To see this, note that an equilibrium value of  $N$  must satisfy

$$h(N) = M(P) \subset [\gamma, \delta] = [h(d), h(e)]$$

so  $d \leq N < e$  must be a root of  $g(N) - f(N) = 0$ . As the latter is negative at  $N = d$  and has a vertical asymptote at  $N = e$ , there is at least one root. Since  $g''(N) - f''(N) > 0$ , there can be at most one root  $N_0$  and  $g'(N_0) - f'(N_0) > 0$ . The latter holds since otherwise,  $g' - f' < 0$  for  $d \leq N < N_0$ , in which case there would be no root.

It is not difficult to prove that there do not exist nontrivial equilibria, when  $d \geq K$  .

In the case (ii), we have that  $c > 0$  ,  $d < e < 0$  ,  $e < -\beta$  and therefore the system (1.1) has no nontrivial equilibria.

Finally, let us consider the case when  $b - \delta < 0 < b - \gamma$ . This condition implies that  $c < 0$  ,  $e < 0 < d$  and  $e < -\beta$ .

It is easy to check that  $f(N^*) = \varepsilon(K + \beta)^2/4aK$ , where  $N^* = (K - \beta)/2$  is the abscissa of the parabola's vertex defined by (3.1), see (fig.3). First, we shall show that under a suitable choice of parameters, the system (1.1) has exactly three equilibria. Let us pick the parameter of the system (1.1) in such a way that:

$$\frac{\varepsilon K}{4a} > -c \quad , \quad b > 2\gamma \quad , \quad 3\beta < K .$$

A straightforward computation shows that :  $d < \beta < N^*$  ,  $f(N^*) \geq \varepsilon K/4a$  and  $f(\beta) < g(\beta)$  for small enough positive  $\beta$ . Thus, there exists a  $N_1 \in (d, \beta)$  such that  $f(N_1) = g(N_1)$ . Now, since  $f(N^*) \geq \varepsilon K/4a > -c$ , it follows that there exists a  $N_2 \in (N_1, N^*)$  and  $N_3 > N^*$  such that  $f(N_i) = g(N_i)$ ,  $i = 2, 3$  . This proves our assertion.

It is not difficult to deduce from the above analysis that in the third case, system (1.1) can have one, two or three non-trivial equilibria.  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  ( $N_2$  and  $N_3$ ) can collapse generating a saddle-node bifurcation. Both trivial equilibria are saddles. For more details see [5].

The next theorem guarantees that the system (1.4)-(1.6) is biologically well behaved and that the dynamics of the system is concentrated on a bounded region of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Concretely, the following result holds :

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathbb{E} = \{(N, P, Q) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : N \geq 0, P \geq 0, Q \geq 0\}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{E}$  is positively invariant under the flow induced by (1.4)-(1.6). Moreover, (1.4)-(1.6) is pointwise dissipative and the absorbing set (into which every solution eventually enters and remains) is given by :  $B = [0, K] \times [0, M_1] \times [0, 1]$ , where  $M_1 = \varepsilon(\beta + K + 1)/a$ .*

**Proof.-** Clearly the system (1.4)-(1.6) is equivalent to the following integral equations :

$$\begin{aligned} N(t) &= N_0 \exp \int_0^t \left\{ \varepsilon \left[ 1 - \frac{N(s)}{K} \right] - \frac{aP(s)}{\beta + N(s)} \right\} ds \quad , \\ P(t) &= P_0 \exp \int_0^t \{-M(P) + bQ\} ds \quad , \\ Q(t) &= Q_0 e^{-\alpha t} + \alpha \int_0^t \frac{N(s)}{\beta + N(s)} e^{\alpha(s-t)} ds \quad . \end{aligned}$$

These equalities certainly implies that the solutions of (1.4)-(1.6) remain in  $\mathbb{E}$  as long they are defined.

Now, let us prove that the solutions of the system (1.4)-(1.6) are bounded for  $t \geq 0$ .

Taking into account that

$$w(t) = \frac{K}{1 + c_0 \exp(-\varepsilon t)} \quad , \quad c_0 = \frac{K - N_0}{N_0} \quad ,$$

is the solution of the following initial value problem

$$w' = \varepsilon w \left[ 1 - \frac{w}{K} \right] \quad , \quad w(0) = N_0 > 0 \quad ,$$

and using standard comparison arguments, we get that

$$0 < N(t) \leq \frac{K}{1 + c_0 \exp(-\varepsilon t)} \quad , \quad t \geq 0 \quad .$$

Which implies the boundedness of  $N$  on the interval  $[0, \infty)$ . Having in mind this fact and that  $\alpha$  is positive, (1.6) give us that  $Q(t)$  is bounded for all  $t \geq 0$ . Moreover, for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon^* > 0$ , there exists a number  $T_1 = T_1(\varepsilon^*, x_0) > 0$ ,  $x_0 = (N_0, P_0, Q_0)$ , such that

$$(3.2) \quad 0 < N(t) < K + \varepsilon^* \quad , \quad 0 < Q(t) < 1 + \varepsilon^* \quad , \quad \forall t \geq T_1 \quad .$$

Let us prove the boundedness of  $P(t)$ . Taking into account (1.5) and the fact that  $M(P) \geq \gamma$ , we get

$$(3.3) \quad P'(t) \leq P(t) [-\gamma + bQ(t)] \quad .$$

Let us show that there cannot exist a  $T = T(M_1, x_0) > 0$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad P(t) \geq M_1 \quad , \quad \forall t \geq T \quad ,$$

where

$$M_1 = \frac{\beta + K + 1}{a} \varepsilon \quad .$$

Indeed, assume that (3.4) is true. Then, taking into account (3.2) and (3.4), we get that

$$\frac{aP(t)}{N(t) + \beta} \geq \varepsilon \quad , \quad \forall t \geq T^* \quad ,$$

where  $T^* = \max\{T_1, T\}$ . Then, from this and equation (1.4), we obtain

$$N'(t) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{K}N^2 + N \left[ \varepsilon - \frac{aP(t)}{N(t) + \beta} \right] \leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{K}N^2(t) \quad , \quad \forall t \geq T^* \quad .$$

This immediately implies that

$$0 < N(t) \leq z(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad , \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty \quad ;$$

where  $z(t)$  is the solution of the equation

$$z'(t) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{K}z^2(t) \quad , \quad z(T^*) = N(T^*) > 0 \quad .$$

Having in mind that  $N(t) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and the equation (1.6), we get that  $Q(t) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , as well. Henceforth, there exists  $t_0 \geq T^*$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad Q(t) \leq \gamma/2 \quad , \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \quad .$$

Now taking into account (3.3) and (3.5), we get

$$P'(t) \leq -\frac{\gamma}{2}P(t) \quad , \quad \forall t \geq \tau = \max\{t_0, T^*\} \quad ,$$

which implies that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = 0$ , a contradiction.

Let us define the following function  $\varphi(t) = P(t) - M_1$ . We have to consider two possibilities. If there is some  $t_0$  such that  $\varphi(t) \neq 0$  for any  $t > t_0$ , we say that the zeroes of  $\varphi$  are bounded. If this is not true, we say that the zeroes are unbounded; in this case  $\varphi(t) = 0$ , for a sequence of  $t_n$  tending to  $+\infty$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If the zeroes of  $\varphi(t)$  are bounded, then  $0 < P(t) \leq M_1$  for any  $t \geq t_0$ .

If the zeroes are unbounded, the  $t$ -axis is divided by the zeroes into a sequence of intervals  $J_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . In each such interval  $\varphi(t)$  is of constant sign. Let us assume that  $\varphi(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in J_n$ ,  $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$ . Since  $P(t) \geq M_1$  on the intervals  $J_{2n-1}$ , arguing like in the proof that (3.4) is not possible, we obtain that  $P'(t) \leq -\frac{\gamma}{2}P(t) < 0$  for  $t \in J_{2n-1}$  and  $n$  large enough. Thus,  $P(t) \leq P(t_{2n-1}) = M_1$ ,  $\forall t \in J_{2n-1}$ , and  $n$  large enough. This contradiction complete the proof of our claim. ■



#### 4. EXTINCTION OF THE PREDATOR AND UNIFORM PERSISTENCE

Hereafter in this paper, we restrict our attention to the case (i):  $0 < \gamma < \delta < b$ . The goal of this section is to give conditions implying that the predator and prey persist indefinitely, i.e., that neither goes extinct. First, we identify a less interesting case where the predator cannot survive.

**Proposition 4.** *If  $d > K$ , then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = 0$$

for every solution of (1.4)-(1.6).

*Proof.*- It is easily seen that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) \leq K$  and from this, deduce that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(t) \leq \frac{K}{\beta+K}$ . If  $\eta > 0$  is arbitrary, there exists  $T = T(\eta)$  such that  $Q(t) \leq \frac{K}{\beta+K} + \eta$  for  $t > T$ . Hence, for  $t > T$  we have

$$P' \leq P\left(-\gamma + \frac{bK}{\beta+K} + \eta\right).$$

Our hypothesis says that, by choosing  $\eta$  small enough  $-\gamma + \frac{bK}{\beta+K} + \eta < 0$ . The desired conclusion follows by a standard comparison result. ■

One can actually show that  $E_2$  attracts all solutions starting in the interior of  $\mathbb{E}$  (and even when  $d = K$ ) with additional work. The conclusion of the proposition also holds in case (ii) since  $b < \gamma$  and  $P' \leq P(-\gamma + b)$ .

For the remainder of this paper we will assume that  $d < K$ . A straightforward computation shows that  $c > 0$ ,  $0 < d < e$ . In this case there exists just one nontrivial equilibrium  $E^* = (N_0, P_0, Q_0)$ , where

$$P_0 = f(N_0) \quad , \quad Q_0 = \frac{N_0}{\beta + N_0} \quad , \quad P_0 = M^{-1} \left( \frac{bN_0}{\beta + N_0} \right) = c \frac{N_0 - d}{e - N_0} \quad .$$

The stability properties of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  can be determined by their linearizations. Let  $J(E_i)$  denote the Jacobian matrices evaluated at  $E_i$ . Then

$$J(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma & 0 \\ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} & 0 & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$J(E_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon & -\frac{\alpha K}{\beta+K} & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma + \frac{bK}{\beta+K} & 0 \\ \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\beta+K)^2} & 0 & -\alpha \end{pmatrix} .$$

Thus,  $E_1$  is saddle point, unstable to invasion by the prey, having two negative eigenvalues and one positive eigenvalue.  $E_2$ , also a saddle point with two negative and one positive eigenvalues, is unstable to invasion by the predators. The following lemma summarizes the behavior of the solutions of (1.4)-(1.6) on  $\partial\mathbb{E}$  and identifies the two-dimensional stable manifolds of the  $E_i$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Assume that  $K > d$ . Then:*

- i) *The  $N$ -axis and  $Q$ -axis, the  $(N, Q)$ -plane and  $(P, Q)$  plane are invariant under the flow induced by (1.4)-(1.6).*
- ii) *The intersection of the stable manifold of  $E_1$  with  $\mathbb{E}$  consists of all points  $(0, P, Q)$  such that  $P \geq 0$  and  $Q \geq 0$ .*
- iii) *The intersection of the stable manifold of  $E_2$  with  $\mathbb{E}$  consists of all points  $(N, 0, Q)$  with  $N > 0$  and  $Q > 0$ .*

*Proof.*- The statements i) and ii) are obvious. To prove iii), note that all solutions of the system

$$N' = \varepsilon N \left[1 - \frac{N}{K}\right], \quad Q' = \alpha \left[\frac{N}{\beta + N} - Q\right],$$

satisfy  $N \rightarrow K$  and  $Q \rightarrow \frac{K}{\beta + K}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

We now show that the predator and the prey persist indefinitely if  $K > d$ . Mathematically, we use the theory of uniform persistence (see [9]).

**Theorem 6.** *Assume that  $K > d$ . Then there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) > \eta$$

and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) > \eta$$

for all solutions of (1.4)-(1.6) starting in  $\mathbb{E}$  for which  $P(0) > 0$ .

*Proof.*- We use Theorem 4.6 of [9], employing the notation of that result and the notation  $u = (N, P, Q)$ . Let  $X_1 = \{u \in \mathbb{E} : N > 0, P > 0\}$  and  $X_2 = \{u \in \mathbb{E} : N = 0 \text{ or } P = 0\}$ . We need to prove that solutions starting in  $X_1$  are eventually bounded away from  $X_2$ , uniformly with respect to initial data. The compactness assumption  $(C_{4.2})$  of Theorem 4.6 holds with  $B$  as in Theorem 2 (for small positive  $\delta$  as defined in [9]). Define  $\Omega_2 = \cup_{u \in X_2} \omega(u)$ . According to Lemma 4,  $\Omega_2$  consists of the equilibria  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ , hence it has an acyclic isolated covering  $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ , where  $M_i = \{E_i\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Here, acyclicity of  $M$  means that there do not exist points  $u_i \in X_2$  with  $\alpha(u_1) = E_1$ ,  $\omega(u_1) = E_2$  and  $\alpha(u_2) = E_2$ ,  $\omega(u_2) = E_1$ . In fact, it is the latter ( $u_2$ ) which cannot exist by Lemma 4. Isolatedness of  $M_i$  means that these sets are isolated in  $\mathbb{E}$ , that is there exists open sets  $U_i$  of  $M_i$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $M_i$  is the maximal invariant set in  $U_i$ . This holds since each  $E_i$  is hyperbolic. We must also show that each  $M_i$  is a weak repeller for  $X_1$ : for all  $u(0) \in X_1$ ,  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u(t) - E_i| > 0$ . Suppose, for contradiction, that a solution  $u(t)$  with  $u(0) \in X_1$  satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = E_1$ . Then  $u(0)$  belongs to the stable manifold of  $E_1$ . But the intersection of the latter with  $\mathbb{E}$  consists of the  $(P, Q)$ -plane by Lemma 4 so we have a contradiction to  $u(0) \in X_1$ . An entirely similar contradiction is reached if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = E_2$  since the intersection of the stable manifold of  $E_2$  with  $\mathbb{E}$ , as described in Lemma 4(iii), contains no points of  $X_1$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.6 of [9],  $X_2$  is a strong repeller for  $X_1$ . ■

### 5. STABILITY OF THE NONTRIVIAL EQUILIBRIUM

We want to determine the stability of the unique nontrivial equilibrium  $E^*$  in case  $0 < \gamma < \delta < b$  and  $K > d$ . It is convenient to examine the equivalent problem of the stability of the unique nontrivial equilibrium  $v^* = HE^*$  of (2.2). The Jacobian matrix of  $F$  evaluated in the rest point is given by

$$F'(v^*) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{b}h(N_0)f'(N_0) & -\frac{a}{b}h(N_0) & 0 \\ 0 & -P_0M'(P_0) & -bP_0 \\ -\frac{a}{b}h'(N_0) & 0 & -\alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

and the characteristic equation is giving by

$$P_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + a_1(\alpha)\lambda^2 + a_2(\alpha)\lambda + a_3(\alpha),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(\alpha) &= \alpha + P_0M'(P_0) - \frac{a}{b}h(N_0)f'(N_0), \\ a_2(\alpha) &= \alpha[P_0M'(P_0) - \frac{a}{b}h(N_0)f'(N_0)] - \frac{a}{b}h(N_0)f'(N_0)P_0M'(P_0), \\ a_3(\alpha) &= \alpha\frac{a}{b}P_0h(N_0)[-f'(N_0)M'(P_0) + h'(N_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

It is worth noting that the coordinates of the critical point  $v^*$  are independent of  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 7.**

$$\det F'(v^*) = -a_3 < 0.$$

*Exactly one of the following hold:*

- (a)  $\Re\lambda < 0$  for all eigenvalues.
- (b) *There is one negative eigenvalue and a pair of nonzero purely imaginary eigenvalues (if and only if  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_2 > 0$  and  $a_1a_2 = a_3$ ).*
- (c) *There is one negative eigenvalue and a pair of eigenvalues with positive real part.*

**Proof.-** We have

$$\begin{aligned} -f'(N_0)M'(P_0) + h'(N_0) &= M'(P_0)\left(\frac{h'(N_0)}{M'(P_0)} - f'(N_0)\right) \\ &= M'(P_0)(g'(N_0) - f'(N_0)) \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(N) = M^{-1}(h(N))$  and where we've used that  $g'(N_0) > 0$  from section 3. Thus,  $a_3 > 0$ .

As the product of the eigenvalues is negative, we conclude that an even number (0 or 2) of eigenvalues have positive real part and zero cannot be an eigenvalue. In the nonhyperbolic case (b), one sees that  $\eta^2 = a_2 = a_3/a_1$  by substituting  $\lambda = -\eta i$  into  $P(\lambda) = 0$ . ■

The Routh-Hurwitz criteria give necessary and sufficient conditions for (a). We will be particularly interested in finding sufficient conditions for  $v^*$  to be hyperbolic and unstable because Theorem 2 implies the existence of periodic orbits. Of course, the Hopf Bifurcation theorem may apply but it leads to the existence of small amplitude periodic orbits.

Let us define the function

$$\psi(\alpha) = a_1(\alpha)a_2(\alpha) - a_3(\alpha) = A\alpha^2 + B\alpha + C \quad ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= P_0M'(P_0) - \frac{a}{b}h(N_0)f'(N_0) \quad , \\ B &= A^2 - \frac{a}{b}h(N_0)h'(N_0)P_0 \quad , \\ C &= -A\frac{a}{b}h(N_0)f'(N_0)P_0M'(P_0) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Assume first that  $f'(N_0) > 0$ , i.e. the equilibrium  $v^*$  is in the Allee zone. Then dependence of the eigenvalues on the parameter  $\alpha$  is as follows.

**Proposition 8.** *Assume that  $f'(N_0) > 0$ .*

- i) *If  $A < 0$ , then  $v^*$  is hyperbolic and unstable with a one dimensional stable manifold for all  $\alpha > 0$ .*
- ii) *If  $A > 0$  then there exists  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that  $v^*$  is hyperbolic and unstable with a one dimensional stable manifold for all  $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$  where  $P_{\alpha_0}(\lambda)$  has a negative root and a pair of pure imaginary roots, and all the roots of  $P_{\alpha}(\lambda)$  have negative real part for all  $\alpha > \alpha_0$ .*

**Proof.-** If  $A < 0$ , then  $a_2 < 0$  so case (c) of Lemma 7 holds. If  $A > 0$ , then  $a_1 > 0$  and  $C < 0$ . Also,  $a_2(\alpha)$  is strictly increasing, negative for small  $\alpha$  and positive for large  $\alpha$ . Case (c) holds while  $a_2 < 0$  and so long as  $\psi(\alpha) < 0$ . As  $A > 0$ ,  $\psi$  is eventually positive so case (a) holds for large  $\alpha$ . ■

The following example shows that both possibilities stated in the Proposition 8 are feasible.

**Example 1.** *Let us pick  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $a = 1$ ,  $K = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma = 2$ ,  $\delta = 2.9$ ,  $b = 3$ . Under this selection of parameters the critical point is giving by  $(N_0, P_0) = (0.2711111205, 0.2704987688)$ ,  $A = -0.1105504528$  and  $f'(N_0) > 0$ . In this parameter configuration  $v^* = (N_0, P_0)$  is hyperbolic with a one dimensional stable manifold, for any  $\alpha > 0$ .*

- ii) *Choosing  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $a = 1$ ,  $K = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma = 0.2$ ,  $\delta = 2$ ,  $b = 2.5$  the critical point is giving by  $(N_0, P_0) = (0.01833391485, 0.116164391)$ ,  $A = 0.0340783025$  and  $f'(N_0) > 0$ . In this parameter configuration  $v^* = (N_0, P_0)$  is hyperbolic with a one dimensional stable manifold just for  $\alpha \in (0, 9.397244481)$ .*

We have the following sufficient conditions for case i) of Proposition 8 to hold.

**Remark 1.** If  $K - \beta > 2e$ , then  $f'(N_0) > 0$  and we might expect that  $A < 0$  if  $\delta - \gamma \ll 1$ . Indeed,

$$A < \frac{\delta - \gamma}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon\gamma}{bK}(K - \beta - 2e).$$

so  $A < 0$  if

$$\frac{\delta - \gamma}{\gamma} < \frac{4\varepsilon}{bK}(K - \beta - 2e)$$

**Proof.-** It's easy to see that  $P_0 M'(P_0) \leq \frac{\delta - \gamma}{4}$ . Also,  $h(N_0) > h(d) = \gamma$ . ■

Applying the Routh-Hurwitz criteria, we get the following result in case  $f'(N_0) < 0$ :

**Proposition 9.** Let us assume that  $f'(N_0) < 0$ . Then  $A > 0$ ,  $C > 0$  and  $a_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Exactly one of the following holds:

- (1) All the roots of  $P_\alpha(\lambda)$  have negative real part, for all  $\alpha > 0$ .
- (2) There exist  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$  such that for  $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_1) \cup (\alpha_2, \infty)$  the roots of  $P_\alpha(\lambda)$  have negative real part; and,  $P_\alpha(\lambda)$  has a negative root and two complex roots with positive real part for all  $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ .

Case 2. holds if and only if  $B < 0$  and  $4AC < B^2$ .

**Example 2.** Let us pick  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $a = 1$ ,  $K = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma = 2.5$ ,  $\delta = 2.9$ ,  $b = 2.91$ . Under this selection of parameters the critical point is giving by  $(N_0, P_0) = (0.7543430654, 0.2098752985)$ ,  $B = 0.2798963236$  and  $f'(N_0) < 0$ . In this case all roots of the characteristic equation have negative real part.

- ii) Choosing  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $a = 1$ ,  $K = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma = 2$ ,  $\delta = 2.5$ ,  $b = 2.51$  the critical point is giving by  $(N_0, P_0) = (0.5338977118, 0.295461174)$ ,  $B = -0.164777546$  and  $f'(N_0) < 0$ . In this parameter configuration  $v^* = (N_0, P_0)$  is hyperbolic with a one dimensional stable manifold for any  $\alpha \in (0.2971353469, 0.4186839291)$ .

## 6. EXISTENCE OF A STABLE PERIODIC ORBIT

Our main result below gives sufficient conditions that almost every solution is asymptotically periodic.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $0 < \gamma < \delta < b$  and  $K > d$  hold. Assume that the unique nontrivial equilibrium  $E^*$  is hyperbolic and unstable. Then it has a one dimensional stable manifold  $W^s(E^*)$ . Furthermore, there exists an asymptotically orbitally stable periodic orbit and the omega limit set of every solution  $(N(t), P(t), Q(t))$  with  $N(0) > 0$ ,  $P(0) > 0$  and  $(N(0), P(0), Q(0)) \notin W^s(E^*)$  is a nonconstant periodic orbit.

**Proof.-** We apply Theorem 2 and Theorem 1 to the transformed system (2.2). From Lemma 7 we see that the stable manifold of  $E^*$  is one dimensional. The existence of an orbitally asymptotically stable periodic orbit follows from Theorem 2 and the analyticity of the vector field. Note (H1)

holds by Theorem 2 and Theorem 6 (the latter must be translated appropriately to system (2.2)). In particular, we take the domain  $D$  as in section two. Using Theorem 6, Theorem 1 implies the final assertion. ■

If  $f'(N_0) > 0$ , then  $E^*$  is hyperbolic and unstable for all small  $\alpha$  (all  $\alpha$  if  $A < 0$ ). Since the “delay” is  $1/\alpha$ , stable periodic orbits exist for large delay and for all values of the delay if  $A < 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Cavani and M. Farkas, Bifurcations in a predator-prey model with memory and diffusion. I: Andronov-Hopf bifurcations, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 63 (3) (1994), 213-229.
- [2] M. Cavani and M. Farkas, Bifurcations in a predator-prey model with memory and diffusion. II: Turing Bifurcation, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 63 (4) (1994), 375-393
- [3] J. M. Cushing, *Integro-differential Equations and Delay Models in Populations Dynamics*, Lect. Notes in Biomathematics, Vol. 20, Springer (Berlin, 1977).
- [4] Hsu S.B., Hubbel S.P. & Waltman P., A contribution to the theory of competing predators. *Ecological Monographs* 48, p.p. 337-349, (1978).
- [5] M. Lizana, L. Niño, Homoclinic bifurcation in a predator-prey model. *Acta Math. Hungar.* V. 77(1-2), (1997), p.p.155-169.
- [6] N. Mac Donald, Time delay in Predators-Prey Models. II Bifurcation Theory, *Math. Biosci.*, 33(1977), 227-234.
- [7] H. L. Smith , *Monotone Dynamical Systems. An introduction to the theory of competitive and cooperative systems.* AMS V. 41, 1995.
- [8] H. L. Smith and H.-R. Zhu, Stable Periodic Orbits for a Class of Three Dimensional Competitive Systems, *JDE*, 110 (1) (1994), 143-155.
- [9] H.R.Thieme, Persistence under relaxed point-dissipativity (with application to an epidemic model), *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 24, 1993, 407-435.

Department of Mathematics, Núcleo de Sucre,  
Universidad de Oriente,  
Cumaná, Venezuela

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science ,  
Universidad de Los Andes,  
Mérida 5101, Venezuela

Department of Mathematics,  
Arizona State University,  
Tempe, AZ 85287-1804, USA