ETHYL *trans*-2-PHENYLCYCLOPROPANE-1-CARBOXYLATE

MORA, RIVERA, DE GIL, ALONSO AND PÉCKERAR

Phenyl-Substituted Cyclopropanes. II. Ethyl *trans*-2-Phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylate

By Asiloé J. Mora, A. Valentina Rivera,* Eldrys Rodulfo de Gil and Miguel E. Alonso

Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Los Andes, Mérida, Venezuela

and Sarah Péckerar

Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Caracas, Venezuela

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Abstract. $C_{12}H_{14}O_2$, $M_r = 190.24$, orthorhombic, $Pca2_1$, $a = 6.931$ (2), $b = 7.708$ (2), $c = 20.288$ (4) Å, $V = 1084$ Å^3, $Z = 4$, $D_x = 1.17$ g cm^{-3}, λ(Mo $K\alpha$) = 0.71069 Å, $\mu = 0.73$ cm^{-1}, $F(000) = 408$, $T = 298$ K, $R = 0.078$ for 398 unique reflections. The ester substituent adopts a *cis*-bisected conformation with the carbonyl oxygen eclipsing the ring. The phenyl substituent also adopts the bisected conformation and, hence, competes with the ester group in conjugative interactions with the cyclopropane ring. Ring bond lengths are equal at 1.50 (2), 1.50 (2), 1.51 (2) Å, the high e.s.d.'s being due to limitations placed on the room-temperature data set by the low melting point (311–312 K).

Introduction. The interaction between a cyclopropane ring and an appropriately oriented π acceptor substituent, *e.g.* a carbonyl group, shortens the distal bond opposite the substituent and lengthens the vicinal bonds. Data for electron donor substituents are sparse and their effects on the ring are not clearly defined. In the case of phenyl substituents they appear to accept electron density from the cyclopropane $3e$ orbitals in the bisected conformation, but to donate electron density to the $4e$ orbitals in the predominant perpendicular conformation (Allen, 1980). The many reported structures of phenylcyclopropanes are mostly heavily substituted (Yamamoto, Sakai, Ohta, Matsuzaki & Fukuyama, 1985; Tinant, Wu, Declercq, Van Meerssche, De Mesmaeker, Masamba, Merenyi & Viche, 1985; Poppleton, 1986; Allen, 1980, and references therein). In these structures, along with the electronic interaction, there is always an added steric effect between the phenyl group and other substituents. We are currently examining some phenylcyclopropanes which exhibit minimum steric effects in order to understand the complex electronic interactions in phenyl-substituted cyclopropanes.

Here we report the structure of ethyl cyclopropane-1-carboxylate which, together with (*E*)-2-(*p*-nitrophenyl)cyclopropylmethylketone (Bordner, Jones & Johnson, 1972) and *trans*-2-(*p*-tolyl)cyclopropanoic acid (Ramírez, Rivera, Rodulfo de Gil, Alonso & Péckerar, 1990), are the only reported phenylcyclopropanes with minimal steric effects. Consequently, the phenyl substituent might be expected to adopt the energetically preferred conformation.

Experimental. Elongated white prisms were grown from ether:hexane. Crystal $0.32 \times 0.35 \times 0.26$ mm sealed in a Lindemann tube. Automated four-circle Philips PW 1100 diffractometer. Lattice parameters determined by least-squares procedure applied to the setting angles of 25 strong reflections in the range $4.43 < \theta < 27.65°$. Intensity data to $(\sin\theta)/\lambda = 0.653$ Å^{-1} ($0 \le h \le 8$, $0 \le k \le 9$, $0 \le l \le 24$) measured with graphite-monochromated (Mo $K\alpha$) radiation; intensities measured by θ–2θ scans. **X standard, monitored; intensity variation x%.** Systematic absences proved the space group to be $Pca2_1$. After Lp corrections the initial 952 reflections were reduced to 398 unique observed data with $I > 3\sigma(I)$. No corrections for absorption or extinction. Structure solved by direct methods using *MULTAN77* (Main, Lessinger, Woolfson, Germain & Declercq, 1977) with part of the geometry of the molecule taken into account to calculate the E values. The structure refined by full-matrix least-squares, with isotropic temperature factors for all non-H atoms, converged to $R = 0.100$. H atoms were placed in positions observed in a difference Fourier map, except those on the terminal CH$_3$ group, which were placed in calculated positions. All H atoms were refined as rigid groups, with the constraint that all C—H = 1.00 Å, and with one overall temperature factor. Non-H atoms were refined anisotropically, except O(1), O(2) and C(6) which were refined anisotropically. Final refinement converged to $R = 0.078$ and $wR = 0.077$ with $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.028$. **S = X.XX.**

Max. and min. heights in final difference Fourier synthesis were $+0.28$ and -0.24 e Å^{-3}. Complex neutral-atom scattering factors from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974, Vol. IV). The function minimized was $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$, where $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.0190F_o^2]$. All calculations performed with the *SHELX77* system (Sheldrick, 1977) on a Burroughs B 5900 computer at the Universidad de Los Andes.

---

* Lists of anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates, H-atom bond lengths, hydrogen bond angles, selected non-bonded distances, mean plane calculations, selected torsion angles and structure factors have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 53582 (9 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Discussion. Positional and isotropic thermal parameters and the resulting bond lengths and angles are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.* The crystal structure consists of discrete molecules (Fig. 1.) aligned along c and held together only through van der Waals forces (Fig. 2.). This fragile molecular packing, reflected in the low melting point (311–312 K), gives limited diffraction data and high e.s.d.'s in the positional and thermal parameters. The ester group adopts a *cis* bisected conformation with torsion angle $X(1)$—C(1)—C(4)—O(1) of $1(1)°$; $X(1)$ is the midpoint of C(2)—C(3), distal to C(1) as defined by Allen (1980). This conformation allows optimum conjugation between the C=O of the ester group and the cyclopropane ring. The phenyl substituent adopts the bisected conformation with a torsion angle $X(2)$—C(2)—C(7)—C(8) = $-2(1)°$; $X(2)$ is the midpoint of C(1)—C(3), distal to C(2). Sterically similar derivatives such as *trans*-2-p-tolyl)cyclopropanoic acid (Ramirez *et al*, 1990) and *trans*-(*p*-nitrophenyl)cyclopropylmethylketone (Bordner *et al*, 1972) also exhibit this conformation (torsion angle is 5·1 and 4·8°, respectively). Thus, both phenyl and ester groups withdraw electron density from the cyclopropane ring. These electronic interactions should induce an asymmetric pattern in the cyclopropane ring (Hoffmann, 1970; Allen, 1980). However, this cannot be detected within the limits of experimental error here: the cyclopropane ring is an equilateral triangle (Table 2).

Tables 1, 2 Figs 1, 2

References

ALLEN, F. H. (1980). *Acta Cryst.* B36, 81–96.
BORDNER, J., JONES, L. A. & JOHNSON, R. L. (1972). *Cryst. Struct. Commun.* 1, 389–391.
HOFFMANN, R. (1970). *Tetrahedron Lett.* 33, 2907–2909.
MAIN, P., LESSINGER, L., WOOLFSON, M. M., GERMAIN, G. & DECLERCQ, J.-P. (1977). *MULTAN77. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data.* Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
POPPLETON, B. J. (1986). *Acta Cryst.* C42, 879–881.
RAMIREZ, B., RIVERA, A. V., RODULFO DE GIL, E., ALONSO, M. E. & PICKERAR, S. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* C46, 1077–1079.
SHELDRICK, G. M. (1977). *SHELX77.* Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
TINANT, B., WU, S., DECLERCQ, J.-P., VAN MEERSSCHE, M., DE MESMAEKER, A., MASAMBA, W., MERENYI, R. & VIEHE, H. G. (1985). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 2, pp. 535–540.
YAMAMOTO, I., SAKAI, T., OHTA, K., MATSUZAKI, K. & FUKUYAMA, K. (1985). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 1, pp. 2785–2787.

Table 1. *Atomic coordinates* $(\times 10^3)$ *and equivalent isotropic temperature factors* $(\text{Å}^2 \times 10^3)$

	x	y	z	U_{eq}/U_{iso}
C(1)	76 (2)	915 (1)	−71 (1)	54 (3)
C(2)	183 (2)	756 (2)	−47 (1)	55 (3)
C(3)	−33 (2)	754 (2)	−53 (1)	75 (3)
C(4)	91 (2)	958 (2)	−143 (1)	56 (3)
C(5)	102 (2)	1189 (2)	−220 (1)	84 (3)
C(6)	72 (3)	1368 (2)	−222 (1)	145*
C(7)	272 (2)	755 (1)	19 (1)	45 (2)
C(8)	196 (2)	844 (2)	72 (1)	61 (3)
C(9)	290 (2)	832 (2)	133 (1)	78 (3)
C(10)	448 (2)	743 (2)	142 (1)	78 (3)
C(11)	534 (2)	657 (2)	88 (1)	78 (3)
C(12)	444 (2)	668 (2)	28 (1)	56 (3)
O(1)	101 (1)	853 (1)	−186	83*
O(2)	89 (1)	1131 (1)	−151 (1)	64*

* Anisotropic temperature factors. $U_{eq} = \Sigma_i \Sigma_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j$.

Table 2. *Bond distances* (Å) *and angles* (°) *with e.s.d.'s in parentheses*

C(2)—C(1)	1·51 (2)	C(3)—C(1)	1·50 (2)
C(4)—C(1)	1·49 (2)	C(3)—C(2)	1·50 (2)
C(7)—C(2)	1·48 (1)	O(1)—C(4)	1·20 (1)
O(2)—C(4)	1·34 (1)	C(5)—O(2)	1·47 (2)
C(6)—C(5)	1·39 (2)	C(8)—C(7)	1·38 (2)
C(12)—C(7)	1·37 (1)	C(9)—C(8)	1·40 (2)
C(10)—C(9)	1·31 (2)	C(11)—C(10)	1·42 (2)
C(12)—C(11)	1·38 (2)		

C(3)—C(1)—C(2)	60 (1)	C(4)—C(1)—C(2)	118 (1)
C(4)—C(1)—C(3)	117 (1)	C(3)—C(2)—C(1)	60 (1)
C(7)—C(2)—C(1)	120 (1)	C(7)—C(2)—C(3)	120 (1)
C(2)—C(3)—C(1)	61 (1)	O(1)—C(4)—C(1)	125 (1)
O(2)—C(4)—C(1)	110 (1)	O(2)—C(4)—O(1)	126 (1)
C(5)—O(2)—C(4)	115 (1)	C(6)—C(5)—O(2)	109 (1)
C(8)—C(7)—C(2)	123 (1)	C(12)—C(7)—C(2)	119 (1)
C(12)—C(7)—C(8)	118 (1)	C(9)—C(8)—C(7)	118 (1)
C(10)—C(9)—C(8)	123 (1)	C(11)—C(10)—C(9)	120 (1)
C(12)—C(11)—C(10)	118 (1)	C(11)—C(12)—C(7)	123 (1)

Fig. 1. Perspective view of the molecule showing the atomic labelling.

Fig. 2. (100) projection of the unit cell.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2