

Publication Guidelines for Umpires

In order to support the defining concept of ANUARIO GRHIAL. History of Culture, Ideas and Collective Mentalities as an editorial tool specialized in scientific and cultural history topics, ideas and collective mentalities, in close connection with studies of the human sciences, the works that it publishes have been submitted to the assessment of regional, national and international accredited specialists in those subject areas. Therefore, its publication has responded to external, objective judgments of the scientists who make up the arbitration, allowing ensure the relevance of it.

Although ANUARIO GRHIAL. History of Culture, Ideas and Collective Mentalities has recognized and distinguished Advisory Arbitration, whose names appear on pages which identifies the components of its organizational structure and because they suggest and recommend to the referees of the Andean region, Venezuela all and other countries, their names will be kept strictly confidential.

The titles of the works translated into English, summaries carried into the same language (Abstract) and the key words transformed into *keywords* will be reviewed by specialists appointed by the editors of ANUARIO GRHIAL. History of Culture, Ideas and Collective Mentalities, who equally will make the corresponding translations when they do not conform to what corresponds to that language. The evaluation of the works is made by the qualifiers umpires who are governed by a scale or "Assessment Table" (which is appended to the works that have requested to be considered by ANUARIO GRHIAL. History of Culture, Ideas and Collectives Mentalities for consideration and evaluation at the moment of been requested to the referees), which takes into account the following requirements:

1. The work must be pertinent to the specialties of ANUARIO GRHIAL. History of Culture, Ideas and Collective Mentalities.
2. - The topics and treated aspects must be rigorously unpublished in its content, treatment and approach, which is not, in any way, preclude to admit that, from different perspectives, a topic already treated could be revised theoretically and methodologically.
3. - The work must comply with all specified guidelines publication for authors.
- 4.- The presentation, analysis and discussion of the topic of the work must be systematically exposed.
5. - The coherence of syntax and spelling in style must prevail.
6. - The decision of the umpires must be argued when considering that the work can be published in a modified or should not be. It should also clearly explain the observations and suggestions it deems appropriate, to reach them to authors.
7. - Referees may use additional criteria other than those included in the scale or "evaluation grid" to strengthen the scientific rigor of the evaluations performed.

In compliance with copyright law, is prohibited without written permission from the copyright holders, the total or partial reproduction of the contents published in the ANUARIO GRHIAL, of which the authors are responsible for the sign and with which the editorial board is not solidarity.